## STATELINE ASPHALT BATCHING PLANT NOISE AND VIBRATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT 133 SOMERSBY FALLS ROAD, SOMERSBY NSW 2250

# Prepared for:Paul Anderson, PM Anderson Consulting Pty Ltd<br/>Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd<br/>Central Coast Council<br/>NSW Environment Protection Agency<br/>NSW Department of Planning and Environment

Prepared by:Bethany Carlyon, Environmental ScientistPrasanna Manoharan, Chemical EngineerR T Benbow, Principal Consultant

Report No: 221145\_NIA\_Rev10 December 2024 (Released: 3 December 2024)



Engineering a Sustainable Future for Our Environment

Head Office: 27 Sherwood Street, Northmead NSW 2152 AUSTRALIA Tel: 61 2 9896 0399 Email: admin@benbowenviro.com.au Visit our website: www.benbowenviro.com.au

#### COPYRIGHT PERMISSION

The copyright for this report and accompanying notes is held by Benbow Environmental. Where relevant, the reader shall give acknowledgement of the source in reference to the material contained therein, and shall not reproduce, modify or supply (by sale or otherwise) any portion of this report without specific written permission. Any use made of such material without the prior written permission of Benbow Environmental will constitute an infringement of the rights of Benbow Environmental which reserves all legal rights and remedies in respect of any such infringement.

Benbow Environmental reserves all legal rights and remedies in relation to any infringement of its rights in respect of its confidential information.

Benbow Environmental will permit this document to be copied in its entirety, or part thereof, for the sole use of the management and staff of Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd.

# **DOCUMENT CONTROL**

Prepared by:	Position:	Signature:	Date:
Bethany Carlyon	Environmental Scientist	B Carlyon	03 December 2024
Prasanna Manoharan	Chemical Engineer	P	03 December 2024
Reviewed by:	Position:	Signature:	Date:
Emma Hansma	Senior Engineer	tatt	03 December 2024

Approved by:	Position:	Signature:	Date:
R T Benbow	Principal Consultant	R IBenhow	03 December 2024

#### DOCUMENT REVISION RECORD

Revision	Date	Description	Checked	Approved
1	12-05-2023	Draft / Rev1	E Hansma	R T Benbow
2	10-11-2023	Rev2	E Hansma	R T Benbow
3	22-05-2024	Draft / Rev3	E Hansma	R T Benbow
4	30-05-2024	Draft / Rev 4	E Hansma	R T Benbow
5	03-06-2024	Rev 5	E Hansma	R T Benbow
6	22-08-2024	Rev 6	E Hansma	R T Benbow
7	09-10-2024	Rev 7	E Hansma	R T Benbow
8	07-11-2024	Rev 8	E Hansma	R T Benbow
9	19-11-2024	Rev 9	E Hansma	R T Benbow
10	03-12-2024	Rev 10	E Hansma	R T Benbow

#### DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION

Revision	Issue Date	Issued To	Issued By
1	12-05-2023	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
2	10-11-2023	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
3	22-05-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
4	30-05-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
5	03-06-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
6	22-08-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
7	09-10-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
8	07-11-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
9	19-11-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental
10	03-12-2024	Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd	Benbow Environmental



#### Head Office:

27 Sherwood Street Northmead NSW 2152 Australia P.O. Box 687 Parramatta NSW 2124 Australia Telephone: +61 2 9896 0399 E-mail: admin@benbowenviro.com.au

Visit our Website at www.benbowenviro.com.au



# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Benbow Environmental has been engaged by Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd to prepare a Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment for an asphalt batching plant at 133 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby NSW 2250 (Lot 2 DP712505 This report has been completed as part of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed development. It was prepared after the issue of the Secretary's environmental assessment requirements (SEARs) number 1655.

The proposed development includes installation of an asphalt mixing plant with a capacity to produce approximately 200 tonnes of asphalt per hour would generate up to 200,000 tonnes of new asphalt material per annum. This noise report assesses contributions from the proposed asphalt plant operations.

The nearest receivers and the noise generating activities have been identified. Noise criteria for the project have been formed, with assessment of the proposed site activities conducted against the NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017), NSW Interim Construction Guidelines (DECCW, 2009) and the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011). Modelling of the activities was conducted using the noise modelling software SoundPlan.

Operational noise is predicted to comply with the Noise Policy for Industry (2017) criteria at all residential receptors with the noise controls presented in Section 7.4.

The predicted noise levels associated with construction exceed the noise management level at residential receivers R1 and R13, compliance is achieved at all other receivers. None of the predicted noise levels exceed the highly noise affected management level of 75 dB(A). Standard construction hours and universal work practices are recommended.

The site is predicted to comply with the Road Noise Policy.

Vibration impacts from the proposed asphalt batching plant are considered negligible.

Prasanna Manoharan Chemical Engineer

B Carlyon

Bethany Carlyon Environmental Scientist

RIBE box

R T Benbow Principal Consultant

Cor	ntents	5	Page
EXEC	CUTIVE S	UMMARY	I
1.	INTRO	DUCTION	1
1.1	Scope o	of Works	1
2.	LOCAT	ION AND SETTING	3
2.1	Site Loo	cation	3
2.2	Hours o	of Operations	5
2.3	Descrip	tion of the Surrounding Area	5
2.4	Neares	t Sensitive Receptors	7
3.	PROPO	DSED DEVELOPMENT	10
4.	EXISTI	NG ACOUSTIC ENVIRONMENT	13
4.1	Noise N	Aonitoring Equipment and Methodology	13
4.2	Measur	rement Location	14
4.3	Measu	red Noise Levels	15
	4.3.1	Long-Term Unattended Noise Monitoring Results	15
	4.3.2	Short-Term Attended Noise Monitoring Results	18
	4.3.3	Existing Road Traffic Noise	19
5.	METEC	DROLOGICAL CONDITIONS	20
5.1	Wind E	ffects	20
	5.1.1	Wind Rose Plots	20
	5.1.2	Local Wind Trends	20
5.2	Tempe	rature Inversions	27
	5.2.1	Weather Conditions Considered in the Assessment	27
6.	CURRE	INT LEGISLATION AND GUIDELINES	28
6.1	NSW E	PA Noise Policy for Industry	28
	6.1.1	Introduction	28
	6.1.2	Project Intrusiveness Noise Level	28
	6.1.3	Amenity Noise Level	28
	6.1.4	Sleep Disturbance Criteria	29
	6.1.5	Project Noise Trigger Levels	29
	6.1.5.1	Annoying Noise Characteristics	31
6.2	NSW Ro	bad Noise Policy	33
	6.2.1	Road Category	34
	6.2.2	Noise Assessment Criteria	34
	6.2.3	Relative Increase Criteria	34
	6.2.4	Exceedance of Criteria	34
6.2	0.2.5	Assessment Locations for Existing Land USes	35
0.3		NSW/Interim Construction Noise Cuideline	30
	0.3.1 6 2 2	Vibration Critoria	30
	0.5.2	RS 7385-2-1002	38 20
	624	DIN/150-2.1999	0C 20
	0.5.4	CTT+110-217232	58

	6.3.5	Human Exposure	39
7.	OPERA	ATIONAL NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	40
7.1	Modelli	ing Methodology	40
	7.1.1	Noise Sources	40
	7.1.2	Modelling Scenarios	41
	7.1.3	Modelling Assumptions	43
7.2	Predict	ed Noise Levels – Operational	43
7.3	Assessr	ment of Annoying Characteristics	44
	7.3.1	Tonal noise	44
	7.3.2	Low Frequency Noise	44
	7.3.3	Intermittent Noise	46
7.4	Recom	mended Mitigation Measures	48
8.	ROAD	TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	50
9.	CONST	FRUCTION NOISE IMPACT MANAGEMENT	51
9.1	Modell	ed Noise Generating Scenarios	51
9.2	Modelli	ing Methodology	53
	9.2.1	Noise Model	53
	9.2.2	Noise Sources	53
9.3	Constru	uction Predicted Noise Levels	54
	9.3.1	Construction Noise Mitigation Measures	54
10.	VIBRA	TION IMPACT ASSESSMENT	56
11.	STATE	MENT OF POTENTIAL NOISE IMPACT	57
12.	LIMITA	ATIONS	58

# Tables

# Page

Table 2-1: Nearest Potentially Affected Receivers Considered	7
Table 4-1: Noise Monitoring Locations	13
Table 4-2: Unattended Noise Monitoring Results Location A, dB(A)	16
Table 4-3: Unattended Noise Monitoring Results Location B, dB(A)	17
Table 4-4: Attended Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)	18
Table 4-5: Existing Road Traffic Noise Data for Location A	19
Table 5-1: Noise Wind Component Analysis 2019 Mangrove Mountain AWS	26
Table 5-2: Meteorological Conditions Assessed in Noise Propagation Modelling	27
Table 6-1: Relevant amenity noise levels.	28
Table 6-2: Project Noise Trigger Levels (PNTL) for Operational Activities, dB(A)	30
Table 6-3: Excerpt from Table C1: Modifying factor corrections	31
Table 6-4: Road Traffic Noise Assessment Criteria For Residential Land Uses, dB(A)	34
Table 6-5: Assessment Locations for Existing Land Uses	35
Table 6-6: Management Levels at Residences Using Quantitative Assessment	36
Table 6-7: Management Levels at Other Land Uses	37
Table 6-8: Construction Noise Criterion dB(A)	37
Table 6-9: Vibration criteria for cosmetic damage (BS 7385:2 1993)	38

Table 6-10: Structural damage criteria heritage structures (DIN4150-3 1999)	39
Table 6-11: Preferred and maximum weighted rms z-axis values, 1-80 Hz	39
Table 7-1: A-weighted Sound Power Levels Associated with Operational Activities, dB(A)	41
Table 7-2: Predicted Noise Levels – Operational Activities dB(A)	44
Table 7-3: Low Frequency Calculation	44
Table 7-4: Predicted Low Frequency Contribution dB-Linear	46
Table 7-5: Annoying Noise Characteristics	47
Table 8-1: Predicted Levels for Road Traffic Noise	50
Table 9-1: Modelled Noise Scenarios for Proposed Construction Works	51
Table 9-2: A-weighted Sound Power Levels Associated with Construction Activities, dB(A)	53
Table 9-3: Noise Modelling Results Associated with Construction Activities for $L_{eq}$ , dB(A)	54

# Figures

# Page

Figure 2-1: Site Location in a regional context	3
Figure 2-2: Site and Surrounding Area	4
Figure 2-3: Land Zoning Map	6
Figure 2-4: Aerial Photograph of the Project Site Location and the Nearest Potentially Affected	
Receptors	9
Figure 3-1: Site Plan	11
Figure 4-1: Noise Logging Locations	14
Figure 5-1: Wind Rose Plots – BOM Mangrove Mountain AWS ID 061375 – 2019 – Day time	21
Figure 5-2: Wind Rose Plots – BOM Mangrove Mountain AWS ID 061375 – 2019 – Evening time	22
Figure 5-3: Wind Rose Plots – BOM Mangrove Mountain AWS ID 061375 – 2019 – Night time	23
Figure 7-1: Scenario 1 Source Locations	42
Figure 7-2: Plant controls	49
Figure 9-1: Construction Scenario 1 – Excavation and Regrading Works	52
Figure 9-2: Construction Scenario 2 – Concreting Construction Works	52

# Attachments

Attachment 1: Noise Terminology

Attachment 2: Calibration Certificates

- Attachment 3: QA/QC Procedures
- Attachment 4: Daily Noise Logger Charts

Attachment 5: Site Plans





# 1. INTRODUCTION

Benbow Environmental has been engaged by Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd to prepare a Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment for an asphalt batching plant at 133 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby NSW 2250 (Lot 2 DP712505). This report has been completed as part of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed development. It was prepared after the issue of the Secretary's environmental assessment requirements (SEARs) number 1655.

The proposed development includes installation of an asphalt mixing plant with a capacity to produce approximately 200 tonnes of asphalt per hour would generate up to 200,000 tonnes of new asphalt material per annum.

The asphalt plant would consist of several components including a control system, vibrating screens, dryers, burners, mixers, weighing equipment, aggregate storage and hot storage silos for bitumen with circulation and supply equipment. The plant would also be equipped with a dust collection system to capture any dust generated by the process. The plant would be designed so that the individual components are concealed from public view to maintain visual amenity of the area. The company is currently in discussions with suppliers to determine the most effective options for plant design.

The potential noise impacts of operational and road traffic activities on the nearby receivers have been predicted utilising noise modelling software, SoundPlan. This noise impact assessment has been prepared in accordance with the following guidelines and documents:

- NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017);
- NSW Interim Construction Guideline (DECCW, 2009);
- NSW Road Noise Policy (RNP) (DECCW, 2011);
- British Standard BS 7385–Part 2: 1993 'Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings';
- German standard DIN4150–Part 3: 1999 'Structural Vibration Part 3 effects of vibration on structures'; and
- The Assessing Vibration A Technical Guideline (DEC, 2006).

## 1.1 SCOPE OF WORKS

This noise impact assessment has been limited to the following scope of works:

- a) Review of proposed plans and operations;
- b) Long term and short term ambient and background noise monitoring in accordance with relevant guidelines;
- c) Identify project specific noise levels;
- d) Determine all potential noise sources associated with the proposed development;
- e) Collect required noise source data;
- f) Predict potential noise impacts at the nearest potentially affected receptors to the site;
- g) Assess potential noise impacts against relevant legislation and guidelines;
- h) Recommend control measures where required; and
- i) Compile this report with concise statements of potential noise impact.



To aid in the review of this report, supporting documentation has been included within the Attachments. A glossary of terminology is included in Attachment 1.



# 2. LOCATION AND SETTING

## 2.1 SITE LOCATION

The site is located at 133 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby NSW 2250. It is legally designated as Lot 2 DP712505. The site is located within an industrial and rural area, which is surrounded by other industry buildings to the north, east and south a large expanse of flora, fauna and waterways to the west and further around the industrial area.

It is a 1 ha lot trapezoidal in shape and can be accessed from Somersby Falls Road. The site is situated in IN1 – General Industrial land use zoning under the Central Coast Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2022, though it is noted that the entirety of the address and lot number are also partly designated as RU1 – Primary Production. It is located at the edge of an industrial precinct, adjacent receptors are industrial, rural and environmental. Figure 2-1 shows the site in a regional context., Figure 2-2 shows the site and the surrounding area.



Figure 2-1: Site Location in a regional context









## **2.2** HOURS OF OPERATIONS

The proposed facility will operate during the daytime period (7am to 6pm) from Monday to Friday. It will not operate on the weekend or on Public Holidays.

## 2.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE SURROUNDING AREA

The site and remaining areas within the lot number are located within land zoned IN1 - GeneralIndustrial and RU1 - Primary Production under the Central Coast Local Environment Plan 2022. Immediately surrounding the lot are RU1 to the east, C2 - Environmental Conservation to the north, west and south and IN1 to the south also. Further surrounds are IN1 to north, east, and south, RU1 to the north and south, C1 - National Parks and Nature Reserves to the west and C4 -Environmental Living to the south. The land zoning map is shown below in Figure 2-3.

**Note:** A reference to an Environment Protection zone E1, E2, E3 or E4 within a Land Zoning Map should be taken to be a reference to a Conservation zone C1, C2, C3 or C4. For further information please see Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Amendment (Land Use Zones) Order 2021.



Figure 2-3: Land Zoning Map





## 2.4 NEAREST SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

Table 2-1 provides the list of the nearest identified receptors that have the potential to be affected by the processes at the subject site. These receptors were selected based on their proximity and directional bearing from the subject site.

Figure 2-4 shows an aerial of the site and nearest sensitive receptors.

Table 2-1.	Nearest Potentially	Affected	Receivers	Considered
Table 2-1.	Nearest Potentially	Anecleu	receivers	Considered

Receptor ID	Address	Lot & DP	Approx. Distance from Proposed Development	Direction from Site	Type of Receptor
R1	126 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	1/ DP712505	35 m	E	Residential
R2	63 Ghilkes Road Somersby	502/ DP712506	350 m	W	Residential
R3	29 Ghilkes Road, Somersby	3/ DP712505	60 m	S	Residential/ Commercial
R4	64 Ghilkes Road, Somersby	501/ DP712506	340 m	NW	Residential/ Commercial
15	149 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	4/ DP654894	160 m	Ν	Industrial
16	110 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	1/ DP510364	60 m	E	Industrial
17	134 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	1/ DP787857	140 m	NE	Industrial
18	142 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	2/ DP787857	200 m	NE	Industrial
19	150 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	3/ DP787857	240 m	NE	Industrial
110	156 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	91/ DP546768	305 m	NE	Industrial
111	170 Somersby Falls Road	7/ DP787857	435 m	NE	Industrial
112	2/61 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	29/ DP1093201	130 m	S	Industrial
R13	125 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	5/ DP1292653	229 m	NW	Residential/ Rural Landscape
R14	63 Ghilkes Road, Somersby	502/ DP712506	590 m	SW	Residential/ Environmental Conservation
115	164 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	6/ DP787857	363 m	NE	Industrial



Table 2-1:	Nearest Potentially	/ Affected Receivers	Considered

Receptor ID	Address	Lot & DP	Approx. Distance from Proposed Development	Direction from Site	Type of Receptor	
116	129 Somersby Falls Road,	4/	30 m	S	Industrial	
	Somersby	DP1292653				
117	125 Somersby Falls Road,	5/	48 m	\٨/	Industrial	
117	Somersby	DP1292653	40 111	vv	muustilai	
110	139 Somersby Falls Road,	2/	25 m	N	laduatrial	
110	Somersby	DP1292653	55111	IN	muustilai	
I19 145 Somersby Falls Ro		1/ DP1292653	50 m	N	Industrial	

Note: distances measured from the boundaries of the site



Figure 2-4: Aerial Photograph of the Project Site Location and the Nearest Potentially Affected Receptors





# 3. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development includes the construction and operation of an asphalt batching plant that will produce up to 200,000 tonnes per annum (tpa), a RAP storage yard, office and depot.

The asphalt batching plant produces coated roadstone, such as asphalt concrete, using a variety of aggregates, sand, and filler materials in precise proportions. The facility receives pre-crushed RAP and aggregate. The RAP is then combined with new materials in the correct proportions and heated in a drum dryer. A binder, bitumen, is added to the mixture, and the temperature is carefully controlled to ensure the final product is workable.

The plant has several components, including a cold aggregate supply system, this is fed from storage bays via front end loader into hoppers, a drum dryer, a dust collector, a hot aggregate elevator, a vibrating screen, a filler supply system, a weighing and mixing (pugmill) system, a pollution control unit, asphalt storage, and a bitumen supply system. The quality of the asphalt produced is affected by each of these components, as well as the proportion of recycled asphalt used.



Figure 3-1: Site Plan









# 4. EXISTING ACOUSTIC ENVIRONMENT

The level of background noise varies over the course of any 24 hour period, typically from a minimum at 3.00am to a maximum during morning and afternoon traffic peak hours. Therefore, the NSW EPA Noise Policy for Industry (2017) requires that the level of background and ambient noise be assessed separately for the daytime, evening and night time periods. The Noise Policy for Industry defines these periods as follows:

- **Day** the period from 7am to 6pm Monday to Saturday or 8am to 6pm on Sundays and public holidays;
- Evening the period from 6pm to 10pm; and
- **Night** the remaining periods.

## 4.1 NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND METHODOLOGY

Background noise level measurements were carried out using a Svantek SVAN 957 Precision Sound Level Meter (attended noise monitoring) and two (2) Acoustic Research Laboratories statistical Environmental Noise Loggers, type EL-215 (unattended noise monitoring). The instrument sets were calibrated by a NATA accredited laboratory within two years of the measurement period. Calibration certificates have been included in Attachment 2.

To ensure accuracy and reliability in the results, field reference checks were applied both before and after the measurement period with an acoustic calibrator. There were no excessive variances observed in the reference signal between the pre-measurement and post-measurement calibration. The instruments were set on A-weighted Fast response and noise levels were measured over 15-minute statistical intervals. QA/QC procedures applied for the measurement and analysis of noise levels have been presented in Attachment 3. The microphones were fitted with windsocks and were positioned between 1.2 metres and 1.5 metres above ground level. Details of the instrumentation and setting utilised are provided in Table 4-1.

Location	Type of Monitoring	Serial Number	Address
А	Unattended and attended monitoring	87823C	126 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby
В	Unattended and attended monitoring	194552	29 Ghilkes Road, Somersby

Table 4-1: Noise	Monitoring	locations
	wionitoning	Locations



## 4.2 MEASUREMENT LOCATION

Unattended long-term noise monitoring was undertaken from 30<sup>th</sup> November 2022 to 9<sup>th</sup> December 2022 at two representative locations, as shown in Figure 4-1. The logger at the site location captured the existing road noise also.

Attended noise monitoring was undertaken at the same locations on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2022.

Legend: Noise logging and Attended location Interview Benbow Environmental 27 Sherwood Street, Northmead NSW 2152	Source: Six Map	<image/>		Location A
	↑N Not to scale	Legend: Noise logging and Attended location Site Boundaries	BE	Benbow Environmental 27 Sherwood Street, Northmead NSW 2152

Figure 4-1: Noise Logging Locations



## 4.3 MEASURED NOISE LEVELS

## 4.3.1 Long-Term Unattended Noise Monitoring Results

The data was analysed to determine a single assessment background level (ABL) for each day, evening and night time period, in accordance with the NSW EPA Noise Policy for Industry. That is, the ABL is established by determining the lowest tenth-percentile level of the  $L_{A90}$  noise data over each period of interest. The background noise level or rating background level (RBL) representing the day, evening and night assessment periods is based on the median of individual ABL's determined over the entire monitoring period.

The results of the long-term unattended noise monitoring are displayed in Table 4-2. Daily noise logger graphs have been included in Attachment 4.



Data	Average L <sub>1</sub>				Average L <sub>10</sub>			ABL (L <sub>90</sub> )			L <sub>eq</sub>		
Date	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	
30/11/2022	66	58	56	58	50	54	43	41	41	78	52	53	
1/12/2022	64	62	56	54	53	48	43	42	39	56	56	51	
2/12/2022	65	56	56	56	50	50	45	41	40	55	52	51	
3/12/2022	58	56	52	49	47	45	38	38	33	50	53	46	
4/12/2022	58	57	49	49	51	43	34	40	32	51	54	44	
5/12/2022	64	64	53	53	57	45	37	43	33	54	60	48	
6/12/2022	64	57	54	55	50	47	44	41	40	54	52	49	
7/12/2022	65	61	54	53	53	46	35	34	31	54	59	49	
8/12/2022	64	57	54	54	49	46	42	42	35	54	51	49	
9/12/2022	68	-	55	56	-	48	44	-	34	56	-	51	
Average	64	59	54	54	51	47	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Median (RBL)	*	*	*	*	*	*	42	41	35	*	*	*	
Logarithmic Average	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	68	55	50	

#### Table 4-2: Unattended Noise Monitoring Results Location A, dB(A)

Note: - indicates values that has not been considered due to adverse weather conditions.

\* Indicates values that are not relevant to that noise descriptor.

Value in bold indicates relevant noise descriptor.



Data		Average L <sub>1</sub>			Average L <sub>10</sub>			ABL (L <sub>90</sub> )			L <sub>eq</sub>		
Date	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	
30/11/2022	60	51	46	50	44	42	30	38	36	74	46	48	
1/12/2022	51	48	46	44	45	42	37	39	34	44	43	42	
2/12/2022	52	50	49	46	46	45	39	39	36	45	45	44	
3/12/2022	55	49	50	48	45	44	34	35	35	57	45	45	
4/12/2022	52	49	48	44	46	43	33	37	31	45	45	43	
5/12/2022	53	54	48	45	49	43	35	41	34	45	49	43	
6/12/2022	54	50	48	46	46	45	35	36	38	45	45	44	
7/12/2022	52	52	49	44	48	43	35	36	34	44	46	44	
8/12/2022	54	49	49	47	44	44	38	39	35	46	43	44	
9/12/2022	56	-	48	45	-	42	35	-	33	62	-	42	
Average	54	50	48	46	46	43	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Median (RBL)	*	*	*	*	*	*	35	38	34	*	*	*	
Logarithmic Average	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	64	45	44	

#### Table 4-3: Unattended Noise Monitoring Results Location B, dB(A)

**Note:** - indicates values that has not been considered due to adverse weather conditions.

\* Indicates values that are not relevant to that noise descriptor.

Value in bold indicates relevant noise descriptor.



## 4.3.2 Short-Term Attended Noise Monitoring Results

Given that the results of the unattended noise monitoring are affected by all ambient noise sources such as local fauna, road traffic and industrial sources, it is not possible to determine with precision the exact existing industrial noise contribution based on unattended monitoring alone. Therefore, the attended noise monitoring allows for a more detailed understanding of the existing ambient noise characteristics and a more meaningful final analysis to be undertaken. The results of the short-term attended noise monitoring are displayed in Table 4-4.

Location /	Address	N	loise De	escripto	or	Comments	
Time		$L_{Aeq}$	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	comments	
Location A 30/11/2022 16:47 Daytime Period	126 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby	52	44	57	63	Cars < 62 dB(A) Distant Traffic < 50 dB(A) Wind, < 51 dB(A) Birds< 49 dB(A) Machinery < 49 dB(A)	
Location B 30/11/2022 17:35 Daytime Period	29 Ghilkes Road, Somersby	47	39	49	58	Cars < 57 dB(A) Trucks < 55 dB(A) Wind, < 51 dB(A) Birds< 65 dB(A) Helicopter < 65 dB(A) Plane <49 dB(A)	

Table 4-4:	Attended	Noise	Monitoring	Results,	dB(A)
------------	----------	-------	------------	----------	-------



#### 4.3.3 Existing Road Traffic Noise

Existing road traffic noise levels have been obtained from the unattended environmental noise logger located at the site 126 Somersby Falls Road.

Table 4-5 shows the results of the long term unattended road traffic noise monitoring. Daily noise logger graphs have been included in Attachment 4.

	Existing Road Traffic Noise – dB(A)							
Date	Daytime (7	am to 10pm)	Nightime (10pm to 7am)					
	L <sub>eq (15 hour)</sub>	L <sub>eq (1 hour)</sub>	L <sub>eq (9 hour)</sub>	L <sub>eq (1 hour)</sub>				
30/11/2022	78	80	51	51				
1/12/2022	56	57	47	50				
2/12/2022	55	56	50	51				
3/12/2022	48	50	45	46				
4/12/2022	48	51	41	44				
5/12/2022	55	56	45	48				
6/12/2022	54	54	47	49				
7/12/2022	54	55	45	48				
8/12/2022	54	54	46	49				
9/12/2022	57	57	45	47				
Overall LEq	54	55	46	49				

Table 1 E.	Evicting Pop	d Traffic Noico	Data for	Location A
Table 4-5.	EXISTING ROA	u franic Noise	Data IOI	LOCATION A

\*excluding 30/11/2022

Based on the results of the noise logging undertaken, the road traffic noise levels measured on Somersby Falls road for day time  $L_{eq (1 hour)}$  of 55 dB(A) and night time  $L_{eq (1 hour)}$  of 49 dB(A).



# 5. METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS

Wind and temperature inversions may affect the noise emissions from the site and are to be incorporated in the assessment when considered to be a feature of the area.

A site-representative meteorological data file was obtained from the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) for the Mangrove Mountain AWS NSW (AWS ID 061375), approximately 11 km away from the site. In this Section, an analysis of the 2019 weather data has been conducted to establish whether significant winds are characteristic of the area.

## 5.1 WIND EFFECTS

Wind is considered to be a feature where source-to-receiver wind speeds (at 10 m height) of 3 m/s or below occur for 30% or more of the time in any assessment period in any season.

## 5.1.1 Wind Rose Plots

Wind rose plots show the direction that the wind is coming from, with triangles known as "petals". The petals of the plots in the figures summarise wind direction data into 8 compass directions i.e. north, north-east, east, south-east, etc. The length of the triangles, or "petals", indicates the frequency that the wind blows from that direction. Longer petals for a given direction indicate a higher frequency of wind from that direction. Each petal is divided into segments, with each segment representing one of the six wind speed classes.

Thus, the segments of a petal show what proportion of wind for a given direction falls into each class. The proportion of time for which wind speed is less than 0.5 m/s, when speed is negligible, is referred to as calm hours or "calms". Calms are not shown on a wind rose as they have no direction, but the proportion of time consisting of the period under consideration is noted under each wind rose.

The concentric circles in each wind rose are the axis, which denote frequencies. In comparing the plots it should be noted that the axis varies between wind roses, although all wind roses are similar in size. The frequencies denoted on the axes are indicated beneath each wind rose.

#### 5.1.2 Local Wind Trends

Seasonal wind rose plots for this site utilising Mangrove Mountain AWS 2019 data have been included in Figure 5-1, Figure 5-2 and Figure 5-3 for day, evening and night periods respectively.





#### Figure 5-1: Wind Rose Plots – BOM Mangrove Mountain AWS ID 061375 – 2019 – Day time









Figure 5-3: Wind Rose Plots – BOM Mangrove Mountain AWS ID 061375 – 2019 – Night time









Appendix D2 of the Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017), refers to utilising the Noise Enhancing Wind Analysis (NEWA) program on the NSW EPA website to determine the significance of source-to-receiver winds.

Table 5-1 below contains the noise wind component analysis from the NEWA software. Wind speeds are taken up to 3 m/s and wind direction is taken from source-to-receiver, plus and minus 45 degrees, as per appendix D2 of the Noise Policy for Industry.

It can be seen from Table 5-1 that there are multiple instances where during a period/season, more than 30% of wind speeds are less than 3 m/s in the plus and minus 45 degree arc from source to receiver. However, only two instances occur during the daytime period when the site will operate. Only the two receivers affected in the daytime period (I6 and I12) will be considered in this scenario.

Therefore, wind effects have been included in the assessment for these two receivers.



		D	ау			Ever	ning		Night			
Receiver	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Spring
R1	7.0	16.3	27.6	13.1	6.4	10.6	21.5	11.5	11.6	12.6	25.0	17.1
R2	21.5	18.6	7	19.4	36.7	36.4	16.6	34.1	16.9	8	0.8	5.1
R3	18.4	16.1	4.2	16.2	26.7	32.3	17.4	27.5	16	12.4	2.4	7.2
R4	22.7	22.2	11.6	17.1	33.6	20.1	14.7	19.5	22.6	4.5	1.9	10.4
15	12.6	13.9	8.4	8.8	21.9	10.1	9.5	9.6	17.7	3.6	1.6	8.8
16	8.1	16.6	30.4	16	5.3	11.1	25.3	11.3	9.9	18.5	32.2	21
17	14	18.4	21.7	12	18.6	9.2	16.3	6	23.8	8.1	14.3	12.8
18	14.9	18.9	22.1	12.1	19.2	9	15.8	5.8	25.8	8	13.3	13.1
19	14.9	19.1	21.8	12.4	19.7	9	15.5	5.5	26.2	8.2	13.2	12.8
110	14.7	19.2	21.4	12.3	20	8.7	16	5.8	26.3	8.2	12.6	13.2
111	14.8	19.1	21.8	12.4	19.7	9	15.5	5.5	26.2	8.2	13.2	12.8
112	16.1	23.8	33.3	21	1.1	10.3	19.3	8.5	12	31.4	46.1	33.8
113	23.7	21.8	8.4	19.8	37.5	24.7	14.1	25.3	19.4	3.4	1.3	8.2
114	20	19.1	5.4	16.7	28.9	34.2	16.6	31	16.9	11.5	1.6	5.5
I15	15.1	19.1	21.9	12.4	19.7	9	15.5	5.5	26.2	8.2	13.2	12.8
116	13.0	15.9	10.4	13.8	3.3	11.7	13	11.8	10.7	18.1	17.9	20.1
117	23.3	22.4	8.4	19.5	36.9	24.5	14.1	24.5	19.1	3.5	1.3	8.3
118	13.9	15.4	8.9	10.7	25.8	13.6	11.1	11.3	18.8	4.1	1.7	9.5
119	14	18.5	21.6	12.0	18.6	9.2	16.3	6.0	23.8	8.1	14.3	12.9

#### Table 5-1: Noise Wind Component Analysis 2019 Mangrove Mountain AWS

Noise enhancing meteorological conditions occur for 30% or more of the period and season



## **5.2 TEMPERATURE INVERSIONS**

Temperature inversion is considered a feature where this occurs more than 30% of the nights in winter.

Temperature inversion conditions would be best associated with F-class stability conditions – generally associated with still/light winds and clear skies during the night time or early morning period (these are referred to as stable atmospheric conditions). As the site will not operate at night, temperature inversion is not considered.

## 5.2.1 Weather Conditions Considered in the Assessment

The following conditions were considered:

- Condition A: Neutral Weather Conditions
- Condition B: 3 m/s Wind from source to receiver (at 16, 112)

The meteorological condition considered in the noise model has been displayed in detail in Table 5-2.

Condition	Classification	Ambient Temp.	Ambient Humidity	Wind Speed	Wind Direction (blowing from)	Temperature Inversion	Affected Receptors	Applicability
А	Neutral	10°C	70%	-	-	No	All	Daytime
В	Wind	10 °C	70%	3 m/s	From Source to Receiver	No	16, 112	Daytime

#### Table 5-2: Meteorological Conditions Assessed in Noise Propagation Modelling



# 6. CURRENT LEGISLATION AND GUIDELINES

## 6.1 NSW EPA NOISE POLICY FOR INDUSTRY

#### 6.1.1 Introduction

The NSW Noise Policy for Industry was developed by the NSW EPA primarily for the assessment of noise emissions from industrial sites regulated by the NSW EPA.

The policy sets out two components that are used to assess potential site-related noise impacts. The intrusiveness noise level aims at controlling intrusive noise impacts in the short-term for residences. The amenity noise level aims at maintaining a suitable amenity for particular land uses including residences in the long-term. The more stringent of the intrusiveness or amenity level becomes the project noise trigger levels for the project.

#### 6.1.2 Project Intrusiveness Noise Level

The project intrusiveness noise level is determined as follows:

#### LAeq, 15 minute = rating background noise level + 5 dB

Where the  $L_{Aeq,(15minute)}$  is the predicted or measured  $L_{Aeq}$  from noise generated within the project site over a fifteen minute interval at the receptor.

This is to be assessed at the most affected point on or within the residential property boundary or if that is more than 30 m from the residence, at the most affected point within 30 m of the residential dwelling.

#### 6.1.3 Amenity Noise Level

To limit continuing increases in noise levels, the maximum ambient noise level within an area from industrial noise sources should not normally exceed the acceptable noise levels specified in Table 2.2 of the NSW Noise Policy for Industry 2017. The relevant recommended noise levels applicable from the Noise Policy for Industry are reproduced in Table 6-1. The urban category has been selected for the residential noise amenity criteria to match the characteristics of the area.

Receiver	Noise Amenity Area	Time of Day	L <sub>Aeq</sub> dB(A)
			Recommended amenity holse level
Residential	Rural	Day	50
		Evening	45
		Night	40
Industrial premises	All	When in use	70

Table 6-1: Relevant amenity noise levels.

Source: Table 2.2 and Section 2.6, NSW Noise Policy for Industry


# The project amenity noise level for industrial developments = recommended amenity noise level minus 5 dB(A)

The following exceptions to the above method to derive the project amenity noise levels apply:

- 1. In areas with high traffic noise levels
- 2. In proposed developments in major industrial clusters
- 3. Where the resultant project amenity noise level is 10 dB or more lower than the existing industrial noise level. In this case the project amenity noise levels can be set at 10 dB below existing industrial noise levels if it can be demonstrated that existing industrial noise levels are unlikely to reduce over time.
- 4. Where cumulative industrial noise is not a necessary consideration because no other industries are present in the area, or likely to be introduced into the area in the future. In such cases the relevant amenity noise level is assigned as the project amenity noise level for development.

This development is not considered to be captured by the above exceptions.

#### 6.1.4 Sleep Disturbance Criteria

In accordance with the NSW EPA Noise Policy for Industry, the potential for sleep disturbance from maximum noise level events from premises during the night-time period needs to be considered. Sleep disturbance is considered to be both awakenings and disturbance to sleep stages.

Where the subject development/premises night-time noise levels at a residential location exceed:

- L<sub>Aeq, 15 minute</sub> 40 dB(A) or the prevailing RBL plus 5 dB, whichever is the greater, and/or
- L<sub>AFmax</sub> 52 dB(A) or the prevailing RBL plus 15 dB, whichever is the greater,

a detailed maximum noise level assessment should be undertaken.

#### 6.1.5 **Project Noise Trigger Levels**

The project noise trigger levels for the site have been established in accordance with the principles and methodologies of the NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017).

The table below presents the rating background level, project intrusive noise level, recommended amenity noise level, and project amenity noise level. The project noise trigger level is the lowest value of intrusiveness or project amenity noise level after conversion to  $L_{Aeq}$  <sup>15</sup> minute, dB(A) equivalent level. Sleep disturbance trigger levels associated with operational activities are presented in Table 6-2.

Different time periods apply for the noise criteria as the intrusive criterion considers a 15 minute assessment period while the amenity criterion requires assessment over the total length of time that a site is operational within each day, evening or night period. In order to ensure compliance under all circumstances, a 15 minute period assessment has been considered for all receptors.



#### Table 6-2: Project Noise Trigger Levels (PNTL) for Operational Activities, dB(A)

Receiver	Type of Receptor	Time of day	Rating background noise level	Project intrusiveness noise level L <sub>eq 15 minute</sub>	Recommended amenity noise level L <sub>Aeq period</sub>	Project amenity noise level L <sub>Aeq 15</sub> <sub>minute</sub> 1	PNTL L <sub>Aeq 15</sub> minute	Sleep Disturbance L <sub>Amax</sub>
		Day	42	47	50	48	47	-
R1	Residential – Rural	Evening	41	46	45	43	43	-
		Night	35	40	40	38	38	52
R2-R4,		Day	35	40	50	48	40	-
R13,	Residential – Rural	Evening	35 <sup>2</sup>	40	45	43	40	-
R14		Night	34	39	40	38	38	52
15-112,	Industrial Bromisos	Whon in use	_	_	70	68	68	_
115-119	industrial Premises	when in use	-	-	70	00	00	-

Notes:

1) These levels have been converted to L<sub>Aeq 15 minute</sub> using the following: L<sub>Aeq 15 minute</sub> = L<sub>Aeq period</sub> - 2 dB (NSW Noise Policy for Industry Section 2.2).

2) The project intrusiveness noise level for evening be set at no greater than the project intrusiveness noise level for daytime (NSW Noise Policy for Industry Section 2.3).



### 6.1.5.1 Annoying Noise Characteristics

In section 3.3.1 of the Noise Policy for Industry is a list of important parameters for predicting noise. Included in that list is the following:

• Annoying characteristics of the noise sources that may be experienced at receiver locations (for example, tonality, low frequency, and intermittency).

Further details to assess annoying characteristics are described in Fact Sheet C of the Noise Policy for Industry, summarised below.

Factor	Assessment / measurement	When to apply	Correction <sup>1</sup>	Comments
Tonal noise	One-third octave band analysis using the objective method for assessing the audibility of tones in noise – simplified method (ISO1996.2-2007 – Annex D).	<ul> <li>Level of one-third octave band exceeds the level of the adjacent bands on both sides by:</li> <li>5 dB or more if the centre frequency of the band containing the tone is in the range 500-10,000 Hz</li> <li>8 dB or more if the centre frequency of the band containing the tone is in the range 160-400 Hz</li> <li>15 dB or more if the centre frequency of the band containing the tone is in the range 25-125 Hz.</li> </ul>	5 dB <sup>2,3</sup>	Third octave measurements should be undertaken using unweighted or Z-weighted measurements. Note: Narrow-band analysis using the reference method in <i>ISO1996-2:2007,</i> <i>Annex C</i> may be required by the consent/regulatory authority where it appears that a tone is not being adequately identified, e.g. where it appears that the tonal energy is at or close to the third octave band limits of contiguous bands.

Table 6-3: Excerpt from Table C1: Modifying factor corrections



Factor	Assessment / measurement	When to apply	Correction <sup>1</sup>	Comments
Low- frequency noise	Measurement of source contribution C- weighted and A- weighted level and one-third octave measurements in the range 10-160 Hz	Measure/assess source contribution C- and A- weighted L <sub>eq.T</sub> levels over the same time period. Correction to be applied where the C minus A level is 15 dB or more and: • Were any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 exceeded by up to and including 5 dB(A) and cannot be mitigated? A 2-dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A-weighted levels applies for the evening/night period • Were any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 exceeded by more than 5 dB(A) and cannot be mitigated? A 5- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A-weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2-dB(A) positive adjustment applies for the evening/night period and a 2-dB(A) positive adjustment applies for the	2 or 5 dB <sup>2</sup>	A difference of 15 dB or more between C- and A- weighted measurements identifies the potential for an unbalance spectrum and potential increased annoyance. The values in Table C2 are derived from Moorhouse (2011) for DEFRA fluctuating low- frequency noise criteria with corrections to reflect external assessment locations.

# Table 6-3: Excerpt from Table C1: Modifying factor corrections



Factor	Assessment / measurement	When to apply	<b>Correction</b> <sup>1</sup>	Comments
Intermittent noise	Subjectively assessed but should be assisted with measurement to gauge the extent of change in noise level.	The source noise heard at the receiver varies by more than 5 dB(A) and the intermittent nature of the noise is clearly audible.	5 dB	Adjustment to be applied for <b>night- time only</b> .
Duration	Single-event noise duration may range from 1.5 min to 2.5 h.	One event in any assessment period.	0 to 20 dB(A)	The project noise trigger level may be increased by an adjustment depending on duration of noise (see Table C3).
Maximum adjustment	Refer to individual modifying factors.	Where two or more modifying factors are indicated.	Maximum correction of 10 dB(A) <sup>2</sup> (excluding duration correction).	

#### Table 6-3: Excerpt from Table C1: Modifying factor corrections

Note 1. Corrections to be added to the measured or predicted levels, except in the case of duration where the adjustment is to be made to the criterion.

2. Where a source emits tonal and low-frequency noise, only one 5-dB correction should be applied if the tone is in the low-frequency range, that is, at or below 160 Hz.

3. Where narrow-band analysis using the reference method is required, as outlined in column 5, the correction will be determined by the *ISO1996-2:2007* standard.

# 6.2 NSW ROAD NOISE POLICY

The NSW Road Noise Policy (RNP) has been adopted to establish the noise criteria for the potential noise impact associated with additional traffic generated by the proposed development. The RNP was developed by the NSW EPA primarily to identify the strategies that address the issue of road traffic noise from:

- Existing roads;
- New road projects;
- Road redevelopment projects; and
- New traffic-generating developments.



### 6.2.1 Road Category

The subject site is accessed via Somersby Falls Road. This is classified as a local road in accordance with the RNP descriptions.

#### 6.2.2 Noise Assessment Criteria

Section 2.3 of the RNP outlines the criteria for assessing road traffic noise. The relevant sections of Table 3 of the RNP are shown in Table 6-4.

Table 6-4: Road Traffic Noise Assessment Criteria For Residential Land Uses, dB(A)

Dood Catagony	Turns of Dusingst/Lond Liss	Assessment Criteria, dB(A)*		
Road Category	Type of Project/Land Use	Day (7am-10pm)	Night (10pm-7am)	
Local Roads	6. Existing residences affected by additional traffic on existing local roads generated by land use developments	L <sub>Aeq (1 hour)</sub> 55 dB	L <sub>Aeq (1 hour)</sub> 50 dB	

\* Measured at 1 m from a building façade.

#### 6.2.3 Relative Increase Criteria

In addition to the assessment criteria outlined above, any increase in the total traffic noise level at a location due to a proposed project or traffic-generating development, must be considered. Residences experiencing increases in total traffic noise levels above the relative criteria should also be considered for mitigation as described in Section 3.4 of the RNP. For road projects where the main subject road is a local road, the relative increase criterion does not apply.

### 6.2.4 Exceedance of Criteria

If the criteria shown in Table 6-4 cannot be achieved, justification should be provided that all feasible and reasonable mitigation measures have been applied.

For existing residences and other sensitive land uses affected by additional traffic on existing roads generated by land use developments, any increase in the total traffic noise level should be limited to 2 dB above that of the corresponding 'no build option'.



# 6.2.5 Assessment Locations for Existing Land Uses

Table 6-5	Assessment I	ocations for	Fristing	land	
Table 0-5.	Assessment L		LAISTING	Lanu	0363

Assessment Type	Assessment Location
External noise levels at residences	The noise level should be assessed at 1 metre from the façade and at a height of 1.5 metres from the floor.
	Separate noise criteria should be set and assessment carried out for each façade of a residence, except in straightforward situations where the residential façade most affected by road traffic noise can be readily identified.
	The residential noise level criterion includes an allowance for noise reflected from the façade ('façade correction'). Therefore, when taking a measurement in the free field where reflection during measurement is unlikely (as, for instance, when measuring open land before a residence is built), an appropriate correction – generally 2.5 dB – should be added to the measured value. The 'façade correction' should not be added to measurements taken 1 metre from the façade of an existing building. Free measurements should be taken at least 15 metres from any wall, building or other reflecting pavement surface on the opposite side of the roadway, and at least 3.5 metres from any wall, building or other pavement surface, behind or at the sides of the measurement point which would reflect the sound.
Noise levels at	The external points of reference for measurement are the two floors of
multi-level	the building that are most exposed to traffic noise.
	On other floors, the internal noise level should be at least 10 dB less than the relevant external noise level on the basis of openable windows being opened sufficiently to provide adequate ventilation. (Refer to the Building Code of Australia (Australian Building Codes Board 2010) for additional information.)
Internal noise levels	Internal noise levels refer to the noise level at the centre of the habitable room that is most exposed to the traffic noise with openable windows being opened sufficiently to provide adequate ventilation. (Refer to the Building Code of Australia (Australian Building Codes Board 2010) for additional information.)
Open space –	The noise level is to be assessed at the time(s) and location(s) regularly
passive or active use	attended by people using the space. In this regard, 'regular' attendance
Commercial or	The noise level is to be assessed at the reasonably most affected point or
industrial premises	within the property boundary. This requirement should not be read to
	infer that the noise level only applies at the 'reasonably worst-affected
	location'.



# 6.3 CONSTRUCTION NOISE CRITERIA

Criteria for construction and demolition noise has been obtained from the NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009). Guidance for construction vibration has been taken from British Standard BS7385-Part 2: 1993 *'Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings'* and other standards.

### 6.3.1 NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline

#### **Residential Criteria**

Table 2 of the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009), sets out construction noise management levels for noise at residences and how they are to be applied. The management noise levels are reproduced in Table 6-6 below. Restrictions to the hours of construction may apply to activities that generate noise at residences above the 'highly noise affected' noise management level.

Time of Day	Management Level L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	How to Apply			
		The noise affected level represents the point above which there may be some community reaction to noise.			
	Noise Affected RBL + 10 dB	<ul> <li>Where the predicted or measured L<sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub> is greater than the noise affected level, the proponent should apply all feasible and reasonable work practises to meet the noise affected level.</li> <li>The proponent should also inform all potentially.</li> </ul>			
Recommended standard hours:		<ul> <li>The proponent should also inform all potentially affected residents of the nature of works to be carried out, the expected noise levels and duration, as well as contact details.</li> </ul>			
Monday to					
Friday 7am – 6pm		The highly noise affected level represents the point above which there may be strong community reaction to noise.			
Saturday 8am – 1pm		<ul> <li>Where noise is above this level, the relevant authority (consent determining or regulatory) may</li> </ul>			
No work on Sundays or Public Holidays	Highly Noise Affected 75 dB(A)	<ul> <li>Where noise is above this level, the relevant authority (consent, determining or regulatory) may require respite periods by restricting the hours that the very noisy activities can occur, taking into account:         <ol> <li>times identified by the community when they are less sensitive to noise (such as before and</li> </ol> </li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>after school, or mid-morning or mid-afternoon for works near residents.</li> <li>2. if the community is prepared to accept a longer period of construction in exchange for restrictions on construction times</li> </ul>			

Table 6-6: Management Levels at Residences Using Quantitative Assessment



Time of Day	Management Level L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>	How to Apply
Outside recommended standard hours	Noise Affected RBL + 5 dB	<ul> <li>A strong justification would typically be required for works outside the recommended standard hours.</li> <li>The proponent should apply all feasible and reasonable work practices to meet the noise affected level.</li> <li>Where all feasible and reasonable practices have been applied and noise is more than 5 dB(A) above the noise affected level, the proponent should negotiate with the community.</li> <li>For guidance on negotiating agreements see Section 7.2.2 (RNP)</li> </ul>

Noise levels apply at the property boundary that is most exposed to construction noise, and at a height of 1.5 m above ground level. If the property boundary is more than 30 m from the residence, the location for measuring or predicting noise levels is at the most noise-affected point within 30 m from the residence.

#### **Other Land Uses**

Table 6-7 sets out management levels for construction noise at other land uses applicable to the surrounding area.

#### Table 6-7: Management Levels at Other Land Uses

Land use	Management Level L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub> (applies when properties are being used)		
Industrial Premises	External Noise Level 75 dB(A)		
School Classrooms <sup>1</sup>	External Noise Level 55 dB(A)		
Place of Worship	External Noise Level 55 dB(A)		

Note: <sup>1</sup> As per Section 4.1.2 of the Interim Construction Noise Guideline, a conservative estimate of 10 dB difference between internal and external levels is applied.

There are no other sensitive land uses in the area surrounding the site.

#### **Noise Criterion**

The noise criterion for construction noise is presented in Table 6-8.

Table 6-8:	Construction	Noise	Criterion dB(A)
------------	--------------	-------	-----------------

Receiver	Land Use	Period	RBL L <sub>A90</sub>	Management Level L <sub>Aeq(15 minute)</sub>
R1, R3	Residential	Standard Hours	42	52
R2, R4, R14, R15	Residential	Standard Hours	35	45



15-112, 115- 119	Industrial	During Use	-	75
110				

#### 6.3.2 Vibration Criteria

Vibration criteria from construction works and operations are outlined in this section, including guidelines to avoid cosmetic damage, structural damage or human discomfort. There is no specific vibration standard in NSW to assess cosmetic or structural damage to buildings. Usually the British Standard BS 7385–Part 2: 1993 'Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings' or the German standard DIN4150–Part 3: 1999 'Structural Vibration Part 3 – effects of vibration on structures' is referenced. The Assessing Vibration – A Technical Guideline (DEC, 2006) provides guidance on preferred levels for human exposure.

#### 6.3.3 BS 7385-2:1993

The British Standard BS 7385–Part 2:1993 '*Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings*' provides vibration limits to avoid cosmetic damage on surrounding structures. Limits are set at the lowest limits where cosmetic damage has previously been shown.

Type of building	Peak component particle velocity in frequency range of predominant pulse						
	4 Hz to 15 Hz	40 Hz and above					
Reinforced or framed structures. Industrial and heavy commercial buildings	50 r	mm/s at 4 Hz and above					
Unreinforced or light framed structures. Residential or light commercial type buildings	15 to 20 mm/s	20 to 50 mm/s	50 mm/s				

Table 6-9: Vibration criteria for cosmetic damage (BS 7385:2 1993)

#### 6.3.4 DIN4150-3:1999

The German standard DIN4150-Part 3:1999 'Structural Vibration Part 3 – effects of vibration on structures' has also been considered. The German standard is considered more onerous than the British standard, and specifically includes more stringent limits to avoid structural damage to surrounding heritage buildings.



	Peak component particle velocity (PPV) mm/s							
Type of building	Vibratio	on at the foun frequency o	Vibration of horizontal plane of highest floor at					
	1 to 10 Hz	10 to 50 Hz	50 to 100 Hz	all frequencies				
Buildings used for commercial purposes, industrial buildings or buildings of similar design	20	20 to 40	40 to 50	40				
Residential dwellings and similar	5	5 to 15	15 to 20	15				
Structures that, because of their particular sensitivity to vibration, cannot be classified as the two categories above, and are of intrinsic value (for example heritage listed buildings).	3	3 to 8	8 to 10	8				

#### Table 6-10: Structural damage criteria heritage structures (DIN4150-3 1999)

#### 6.3.5 Human Exposure

The guideline *Assessing Vibration – A Technical Guideline* (DEC, 2006) describes preferred criteria for human exposure. The limits describe values where occupants of buildings would be impacted by construction work.

#### Table 6-11: Preferred and maximum weighted rms z-axis values, 1-80 Hz

	Day	time	Night time							
Location	Preferred	Maximum	Preferred	Maximum						
Continuous Vibration										
(weighted root mean square (rms) vibration levels for continuous acceleration (m/s <sup>2</sup> ) in the vertical direction)										
Residences	0.01	0.02	0.007	0.014						
Offices, schools, educational institutions and places of worship	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04						
Workshops	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.08						
(weighted root mean square (rms) vibration direction)	n levels for impu	lsive acceleratio	n (m/s²) in the v	vertical						
Residences	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.2						
Offices, schools, educational institutions and places of worship	0.64	1.28	0.64	1.28						
Workshops	0.64	1.28	0.64	1.28						
Intermittent Vibration (m/s)										
Residences	0.2	0.4	0.13	0.26						
Offices, schools, educational institutions and places of worship	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.8						
Workshops	0.8	1.6	0.8	1.6						



# 7. OPERATIONAL NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

An outline of the predictive noise modelling methodology and operational noise modelling scenarios has been provided in this section of the report. This assessment considers existing and proposed noise sources operating simultaneously in accordance with the Noise Policy for Industry requirements.

# 7.1 MODELLING METHODOLOGY

Noise propagation modelling was carried out using the Concawe algorithm within SoundPLAN. This model has been extensively utilised by Benbow Environmental for assessing noise emissions for existing and proposed developments, and is recognised by regulatory authorities throughout Australia. The model allows for the prediction of noise from a site at the specified receptor, by calculating the contribution of each noise source. Other model inputs included the noise sources, topographical features of the subject area, surrounding buildings, noise walls and receiver locations.

The modelling scenario has been carried out using the  $L_{Aeq}$  and  $L_{Amax}$  descriptors. Using the model, noise levels were predicted at the potentially most affected receivers to determine the noise impact against the project specific noise levels and other relevant noise criteria in accordance with the NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017).

# 7.1.1 Noise Sources

The sound power levels for the identified noise sources associated with the operational activities have been taken from Benbow Environmental's database.

A-weighted third octave band centre frequency sound power levels have been used and are presented in Table 7-1 below. The noise sources utilised as part of this assessment comprise of the primary noise generating activities associated with the effective operation of the proposed development.



		Overall			Ţ	hird C	Octave	Banc	l Cent	re Freq	luency	(Hz)	
Noise	1.		Hoight	25	31	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	200
Source	LAmax	LAeq(15	пеідії	250	315	400	500	630	800	1000	1250	1600	2000
		minute)		2500	3150	4000	5000	6300	8000	10000	12500	16000	20000
				48	58	71	72	79	77	75	80	82	85
FEL	110	101	1 m	92	87	90	92	90	91	90	90	89	89
				88	84	82	80	77	74	70	66	61	56
Aggrogato				-	65	-	-	72	-	-	77	-	-
Hopper	110	106	5 m	84	-	-	91	-	-	95	-	-	98
				-	-	100	-	-	102	-	-	95	-
				27	30	28	34	37	47	44	47	52	56
Conveyor	88	78	2 m	56	63	66	69	67	70	69	71	70	69
				62	61	57	55	51	47	43	41	34	27
Druge				-	-	67	69	71	74	78	85	93	91
Dryer	-	113	3 m	93	97	104	103	102	102	99	100	102	102
Druin				102	100	100	99	98	94	90	-	-	-
		91	1 m	-	-	53	63	65	63	67	73	74	73
Oil Heater	103			74	74	76	78	79	79	80	80	80	80
				80	79	79	77	75	72	69	-	-	-
				-	-	48	55	52	52	55	61	61	62
BUCKET	97	86	9 m	62	67	66	68	70	69	70	71	73	77
Lievator				79	77	76	74	73	71	68	-	-	-
				42	57	58	66	71	78	75	80	84	85
Screen	-	102	15 m	84	89	95	93	90	91	91	90	90	90
				89	88	85	83	80	77	74	69	62	54
				-	-	55	59	60	65	66	69	72	74
Pugmil	-	97	8 m	74	80	75	83	84	87	84	86	90	92
				86	84	82	80	79	77	74	-	-	-
<b>-</b> 1				42	46	55	63	68	71	76	76	78	80
Truck Exhaust	103	101	3 m	81	83	92	96	92	94	87	86	80	85
LANdust				83	82	80	81	81	80	76	-	-	-
Truch				44	48	57	65	70	73	78	78	80	82
I rUCK Engine	106	103	1.5 m	83	85	94	98	94	96	89	88	82	87
LIIBIIIC				85	84	82	83	83	82	78	-	-	-

#### Table 7-1: A-weighted Sound Power Levels Associated with Operational Activities, dB(A)

### 7.1.2 Modelling Scenarios

One scenario have been modelled. Scenario 1 includes all sources operating occurring only during the day. Scenario 1 has been modelled under neutral and noise enhancing wind conditions. Figure 7-1 shows the locations of the noise sources for the operational scenario.



#### Figure 7-1: Scenario 1 Source Locations





### 7.1.3 Modelling Assumptions

The relevant assessment period for operational noise emissions is 15 minutes when assessing noise levels against the Intrusive Criterion; therefore, noise source durations detailed throughout the following assumptions section should be considered per 15-minute period in view of potential noise impacts under worst-case scenarios. Each assessment-specific assumption has been detailed below:

- Topographical information of the surrounding area has been obtained from Sixmaps and implemented in SoundPLAN. Topographical information of the site has been obtained from the most recent architectural plans and implemented in SoundPLAN.
- All ground areas surrounding the subject site and the nearest nominated occupancies have been modelled considering different ground factors ranging from 0 to 1. The site and surrounding industrial areas have been modelled with a ground absorption factor of 0 (hard).
- Surrounding buildings have been included in the noise model.
- 2 trucks are assumed to enter and leave the site every 15 minutes in a worst-case scenario. Trucks have been assumed to travel on the site at 10 km/h. Trucks are modelled in sound plan as line sources, utilising moving point source definition. They occur during all time periods.
- The dryer and main stack enclosure have been modelled with noise controls as presented in Figure 7-1.
- All point sources are modelled operating 100% of the time, except for the hopper loading which are modelled with a time histogram of 2 minutes/hour.
- All residential receivers were modelled at 1.5 m above ground level at the most noiseaffected point within the property boundary 30 m from the dwelling.
- Wall configurations and heights have been obtained from the most recent architectural plans and implemented within SoundPLAN.

# 7.2 PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS – OPERATIONAL

Noise levels at the nearest receptors have been calculated and results of the predictive noise modelling considering operational activities are shown in Table 7-2. The modelled scenarios are predicted to comply with the  $L_{Aeq(15 minute)}$  and  $L_{AMax}$  project specific criteria at all sensitive receptors.

Noise controls are outlined in Section 7.4.



Receptor	Project	Criteria L <sub>eq</sub>	(15 minute)	Scenario 1A – Day All Equipment	Scenario 1B – Day All Equipment (noise enhancing wind conditions) <sup>1</sup>
	Day	Evening	Night	Predicted Leg(15 minute)	Predicted Leq(15 minute)
R1	47	43	38	45√	-
R2	40	40	38	29√	-
R3	40	40	38	36√	-
R4	40	40	38	31√	-
15		68		46√	
16		68		39√	41√
17		68		50√	-
18		68		47√	-
19		68		45√	-
110		68		435√	-
11		68		40√	-
112		68		36√	39√
R13	40	40	38	34√	-
R14	40	40	38	23√	-
l15		68		43√	-
116		68		46√	-
117		68		47√	-
118		68		65√	-
119		68		58√	-

Table 7-2:	Predicted	Noise Leve	els – Oper	ational A	ctivities	dB(A)
10010 / 2.	ricultu	NOISC LCV	cis opei	acional / (	cuvities.	ab(/ )

✓ Complies × Non-compliance

1. As mentioned in Section 5.1.2 and 5.2.1, only I6 and I12 experienced more than 30% of wind speeds less than 3 m/s in the plus and minus 45 degree arc from source to receiver during the daytime. Therefore, they are the only receivers considered in Scenario 1B.

### 7.3 ASSESSMENT OF ANNOYING CHARACTERISTICS

### 7.3.1 Tonal noise

One-third octave levels at residential receptors were assessed for tonal impacts. However, no tonal component in accordance with the Noise Policy for Industry 2017 was calculated.

### 7.3.2 Low Frequency Noise

Low frequency noise for the proposed scenario described in this section, has been evaluated as part of this assessment. This is described in Section 6.1.5.1.

Table 7-3:	Low Frequency Calculation
------------	---------------------------

Receiver	C-Weighted Level	A-Weighted Level	Difference	Further Assessment Applies?
R1	56	46	10	No



Receiver	C-Weighted Level	A-Weighted Level	Difference	Further Assessment Applies?
R2	44	29	15	Yes
R3	51	38	13	No
R4	45	35	10	No
15	56	49	7	No
16	51	39	12	No
17	58	51	7	No
18	46	49	7	No
19	55	47	8	No
110	53	45	8	No
111	49	42	7	No
112	50	38	12	No
R13	47	34	13	No
R14	41	25	16	Yes
115	52	45	7	No
116	61	55	6	No
117	59	45	14	No
118	71	64	7	No
119	66	59	7	No

As can be seen in the table above, two receivers (R2 and R14) experience a C and A-weighted difference of equal to or more than 15 dB. Therefore, further assessment against the threshold levels is required.



	Erog	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	
Dessiver	Cooporio	Freq.	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz	Hz
Receiver	Scenario	Threshold Levels	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
	Predicted										
R2	L: Dropocod	levels	30	36	40	38	37	35	26	28	32
	Proposed	Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R14 1:	Predicted										
	L: Dropocod	levels	27	34	36	35	34	32	22	25	27
	Proposed	Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 7-4: Predicted Low Frequency Contribution dB-Linear

There are no exceedances within the low frequency bands and a 2dB penalty during the daytime only applies for an exceedance of 6 dB or more above the threshold levels. Therefore, no low frequency penalty applies.

### 7.3.3 Intermittent Noise

No noise sources associated with the existing nor proposed operations are likely to generate intermittent characteristics. No noise sources heard at the receiver varies by more than 5 dB(A) (the max levels are not above 5 dB(A) of the  $L_{Aeq}$ ) and no intermittent nature is audible. Further assessment is not warranted.



The following table addresses annoying noise as per fact sheet C of the noise policy for industry.

Factor	Comment	Applies
Topol Noico	Z – Weighted 1/3 octave were assessed for tonal impacts. No tonal	
Tonal Noise	noise associated with the site was found.	
Low-	The difference between predicted C-weighted levels and predicted A-	
Frequency	weighted levels are more than 15 dB at two receptors, therefore low	No
Noise	frequency impacts apply.	
Intermittent		Ne
noise	No hoise sources operate at hight.	NO

Table 7-5:	Annoving	Noise	Characteristics
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	110150	characteristics



### 7.4 RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES

- The height of the walls of the raw materials/RAP bunker in the rear of the site shall be a minimum of 4.5 m high and constructed in concrete tilt up panels. This structure connects to the walls inside the northern and southern boundaries and shall be 6 m high on the southern end to connect with the proposed 6 m high acoustic wall.
- 6 m high acoustic wall (height measured from the floor of the plant) setback 3 m inside the southern boundary along the length of the hopper loading area and main plant. This wall should be constructed in concrete tilt up panels.
- 1.8 m high acoustic wall along the northern boundary. The 1.8 m high wall along the northern boundary is measured from the floor level of the plant hardstand. When the proposed retaining wall is greater than 1.8 m no wall is required as the retaining wall satisfies the height requirements. Where the retaining wall is less than 1.8 m high the acoustic wall should sit on top of the proposed retaining wall such that the total height measured from the plant hardstand is 1.8 m or greater. This will be made from 0.42 base metal thickness (BMT) Colorbond.
- 1.8 m high acoustic wall should be located on top of the retaining wall that is setback 5 m from the south-eastern boundary. This wall should be made from 0.42 BMT Colorbond.
- 8 m high Besser block wall to be in front of the truck loading area.
- 8 m high Besser block wall in front of the hoppers.
- Bitumen tanks are 11.5 m high and connected with an 11.5 m high wall in-between. The wall is to be made of 0.42 BMT Colorbond.
- Drum burner must be enclosed Building Rw≥27 (0.42 BMT on all facades). The building is proposed to be made from Colorbond steel with a base metal thickness (BMT) of 0.42.
- The main stack processing plant must be enclosed Building Rw≥27 (0.8 BMT) for the walls, 0.42 for the roof. The walls are proposed to be made from Colorbond steel with a BMT of 0.8, while the roof is to be made from Colorbond steel with a BMT of 0.42.
- The asphalt loading area underneath the batching plant must be enclosed to house the truck loading. Acoustic curtains are to be installed. General industrial acoustic curtains typically consist of a flexible panel incorporating a series of acoustic materials, such as mineral wool or fiberglass insulation, encased within a waterproof and durable tarpaulin casing. The specific materials and supplier details for the acoustic curtains will be verified prior to installation by a qualified acoustic consultant to ensure compliance.

The facility must build the facility with these controls as specified in order to sufficiently reduce the noise levels. The following figure displays the controls.



Figure 7-2: Noise Controls



![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

# 8. ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Truck movements are modelled moving south along Somersby Falls Road passing the residential receiver R1. The maximum number of truck movements along Somersby Falls Road is assumed to be 8 trucks per hour associated with the proposed asphalt plant. Trucks have been modelled passing this house as 2 moving line sources (engine and exhaust) using sound power levels as shown in operational noise source table traveling at 50km/hr.

	Noise Criteria Site			ontribution	Existing Ro No	oad Traffic bise	Total Road Traffic Noise		
Receptor	Day L <sub>Aeq, 1</sub> hour	Night L <sub>Aeq, 1</sub> hour	Day L <sub>Aeq, 1</sub> hour	Night L <sub>Aeq, 1</sub> hour	Day LAeq, 1 hour	Night L <sub>Aeq, 1 hour</sub>	Day LAeq, 1 hour	Night LAeq, 1 hour	
R1	55	50	46	46	55	49	56	51	

#### Table 8-1: Predicted Levels for Road Traffic Noise

Although this exceeds the residential criteria, based on consultation with the neighbour, as R1 is looking to be re-zoned to industrial, the exceedance will not be of concern. The predicted levels also do not exceed the relative increase criteria of 2dB(A).

Step 3 of Section 3.4.1 of the RNP identifies possible reasonable and feasible control measures when exceedances of either outlined criteria.

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

# 9. CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACT MANAGEMENT

# 9.1 MODELLED NOISE GENERATING SCENARIOS

Two construction scenarios that have the potential to generate noise at surrounding receivers are modelled. The scenarios are listed in Table 9-1, and are modelled for:

- Excavation and earthworks (scenario 1); and
- Concreting works (scenario 2);

The noise generating scenarios consider a situation in which all equipment was running for 100% of the time over the 15 minute assessment period. The equipment list for the scenario is detailed in Table 9-1, with an equipment location diagrams in Figure 9-1 and Figure 9-2.

All works are proposed to be undertaken during standard construction hours, that is:

- Monday to Friday, 7am to 6pm;
- Saturday 8am to 1pm ; and
- No work on Sundays or public holidays.

Scenario	Time of the day	Noise Sources for Worst 15-minute Period
1. Excavation and Regrading works	Standard hours	<ul> <li>20T Excavator</li> <li>Backhoe</li> <li>Truck</li> <li>Hand Tools</li> </ul>
2. Concreting construction works	Standard hours	<ul><li>Concrete mixer truck</li><li>Concrete pump</li><li>Hand tools</li></ul>

#### Table 9-1: Modelled Noise Scenarios for Proposed Construction Works

Note 1: As per section 4.5 of the Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC, 2009), a number of activities have proven to be particularly annoying to residents and have therefore had 5 dB added to their predicted levels.

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 9-1: Construction Scenario 1 – Excavation and Regrading Works

Figure 9-2: Construction Scenario 2 – Concreting Construction Works

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

# 9.2 MODELLING METHODOLOGY

#### 9.2.1 Noise Model

Noise propagation modelling for the construction activities was carried out using the ISO 9613 algorithm within SoundPLAN v7.3. The construction scenarios were modelled using the  $L_{Aeq, 15 \text{ minutes}}$  descriptor.

Assumptions made in the noise modelling of the construction noise scenarios are as follows:

- The relevant assessment period for operational noise emissions has been considered to be 15 minutes. Construction scenarios assume all equipment is running 100% of the time during the 15 minute assessment period, to provide a worst case scenario;
- All noise sources associated with the construction works have been modelled as point sources.

#### 9.2.2 Noise Sources

A-weighted octave band centre frequency sound power levels are presented shown in Table 9-2 below. The sound power levels for the relevant noise sources have been calculated from measurements of sound pressure levels undertaken by an acoustic engineer from Benbow Environmental at similar sites and sourced from Benbow Environmental's noise source database, as well as taken from AS 2436: 2010 and the UK Department for Environmental Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) database, *Update of noise database for prediction of noise on construction and open sites*.

	Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz)						z)		
Noise Source	Overall	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k
Excavator 20T	110	103	101	100	101	102	102	97	90
Truck	106	76	84	89	104	95	93	88	88
Hand tools	100	71	81	91	96	94	90	87	81
Backhoe	104	102	94	92	92	91	88	87	78
Concrete truck	108	85	86	85	94	98	107	89	82
Concrete pump truck	105	77	92	97	99	100	95	95	89

Table 9-2: A-weighted Sound Power Levels Associated with Constru-	ction Activities, dB(A)
---	-------------------------

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

# 9.3 CONSTRUCTION PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS

Results of the predictive noise modelling of the construction activities are shown in

Table 9-3.

Passivar	PSNL (L <sub>eq,15 minute</sub> dB(A))	Scenario (Standard Hours) (L <sub>eq</sub> , dB(A))			
	Standard Hours	1	2		
R1	52	58×	59×		
R2	45	36√	39√		
R3	52	48√	51√		
R4	45	44√	45√		
15	75	52√	54√		
16	75	50√	53√		
17	75	54√	56√		
18	75	52√	54√		
19	75	51√	54√		
110	75	48√	50√		
111	75	46√	47√		
112	75	46√	49√		
R13	45	46×	49×		
R14	45	35√	38√		
115	75	48√	51√		
116	75	60√	62√		
117	75	63√	65√		
118	75	62√	64√		

Table 9-3: Noise Modelling Results Associated with Construction Activities for Lea, df	3(A	)
--	-----	---

✓ Complies × Non-compliance

It can be seen that the predicted noise levels associated with construction exceed the noise management level at R1 and R13. The construction noise scenario represents a worst case scenario that may not occur in practice, and expected impacts on the surrounding receivers are predicted to be lower than the results presented in Table 9-3. None of the predicted noise levels exceed the highly noise affected management level of 75 dB(A).

### 9.3.1 Construction Noise Mitigation Measures

As per the guidance from the NSW Interim Construction Noise Guidelines, the proponent should consider notifying the nearby receivers where applicable via letter box drops of the proposed construction works:

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

Where the predicted or measured  $L_{Aeq(15 minute)}$  is greater than the noise affected level, the proponent should apply all feasible and reasonable work practises to meet the noise affected level. The proponent should also inform all potentially affected residents of the nature of works to be carried out, the expected noise levels and duration, as well as contact details.

Construction activities are therefore proposed to take place during standard construction hours as follows:

Monday to Friday:	7am to 6pm
Saturday:	8am to 1pm
Sunday and Public Holidays:	No works permitted

Using Chapter 6 of the Interim Construction Noise Guideline, some reasonable and feasible work practises and mitigation measures that could be considered for adoption are as follows:

- Construct the boundary walls before commencing other construction operations;
- Where possible stagger the use of noisy equipment (front end loader, grinder, welder etc.) such that they do not operate simultaneously;
- Regular reinforcement (such as at toolbox talks) of the need to minimise noise and vibration;
- Regular identification of noisy activities and adoption of improvement techniques;
- Avoiding the use of portable radios, public address systems or other methods of site Communication that may unnecessarily impact upon nearby residents;
- Where possible, avoiding the use of equipment that generates impulsive noise;
- Minimising the need for vehicle reversing for example, by arranging for one-way site traffic routes;
- Use of broadband audible reverse alarms on vehicles and elevating work platforms used on site;
- Minimising the movement of materials and plant and unnecessary metal-on-metal contact;
- Choosing quieter plant and equipment based on the optimal power and size to most efficiently perform the required tasks;
- Regularly inspecting and maintaining plant and equipment to minimise noise and vibration level increases, to ensure that all noise and vibration reduction devices are operating effectively;
- Locating noisy equipment behind structures that act as barriers, or at the greatest distance from the noise-sensitive area; or
- Orientating the equipment so that noise emissions are directed away from any sensitive areas, to achieve the maximum attenuation of noise;
- Minimising truck movements; and
- Scheduling respite periods for intensive works.

Adopting these work practices will significantly reduce the impact of the construction works at the nearest sensitive receivers.

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **10. VIBRATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

In the Transport for NSW Construction Noise Strategy document and Assessing Vibration – a Technical Guideline, construction equipment that may cause vibration impacts includes hydraulic hammers, vibratory pile drivers, pile boring, jackhammers, 'wacker packers', concrete vibrators, and pavement breakers, amongst other equipment. The construction work proposed would not use this type of equipment and is not expected to cause vibration impacts. The equipment utilised for the asphalt batching plant will not generate vibration impacts therefore a detailed Vibration Impact Assessment is therefore not considered warranted.

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **11. STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL NOISE IMPACT**

Benbow Environmental has been engaged by Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd to prepare a Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment for an asphalt batching plant at 133 Somersby Falls Road, Somersby NSW 2250 (Lot 2 DP712505 This report has been completed as part of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed development. It was prepared after the issue of the Secretary's environmental assessment requirements (SEARs) number 1655.

The proposed development includes installation of an asphalt mixing plant with a capacity to produce approximately 200 tonnes of asphalt per hour would generate up to 200,000 tonnes of new asphalt material per annum. This noise report assesses contributions from the proposed asphalt plant operations.

The nearest receivers and the noise generating activities have been identified. Noise criteria for the project have been formed, with assessment of the proposed site activities conducted against the NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA, 2017), NSW Interim Construction Guidelines (DECCW, 2009) and the NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW, 2011). Modelling of the activities was conducted using the noise modelling software SoundPlan.

Operational noise is predicted to comply with the Noise Policy for Industry (2017) criteria at all residential receptors with the noise controls presented in Section 7.4.

The predicted noise levels associated with construction exceed the noise management level at residential receiver R1 and R13, compliance is achieved at all other receivers. None of the predicted noise levels exceed the highly noise affected management level of 75 dB(A). Standard construction hours and universal work practices are recommended.

The site is predicted to comply with the Road Noise Policy.

Vibration impacts from the proposed asphalt batching plant are considered negligible.

Prasanna Manoharan Chemical Engineer

B Carlyon

Bethany Carlyon Environmental Scientist

RIBE box

R T Benbow Principal Consultant

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **12. LIMITATIONS**

Our services for this project are carried out in accordance with our current professional standards for site assessment investigations. No guarantees are either expressed or implied.

This report has been prepared solely for the use of

Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd , as per our agreement for providing environmental services. Only Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd is entitled to rely upon the findings in the report within the scope of work described in this report. Otherwise, no responsibility is accepted for the use of any part of the report by another in any other context or for any other purpose.

Although all due care has been taken in the preparation of this study, no warranty is given, nor liability accepted (except that otherwise required by law) in relation to any of the information contained within this document. We accept no responsibility for the accuracy of any data or information provided to us by

Stateline Asphalt Pty Ltd for the purposes of preparing this report.

Any opinions and judgements expressed herein, which are based on our understanding and interpretation of current regulatory standards, should not be construed as legal advice.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Noise Terminology

#### **'A' FREQUENCY WEIGHTING**

The 'A' frequency weighting roughly approximates to the Fletcher-Munson 40 phon equal loudness contour. The human loudness perception at various frequencies and sound pressure levels is equated to the level of 40 dB at 1 kHz. The human ear is less sensitive to low frequency sound and very high frequency sound than midrange frequency sound (i.e. 500 Hz to 6 kHz). Humans are most sensitive to midrange frequency sounds, such as a child's scream. Sound level meters have inbuilt frequency weighting networks that very roughly approximates the human loudness response at low sound levels. It should be noted that the human loudness response is not the same as the human annoyance response to sound. Here low frequency sounds can be more annoying than midrange frequency sounds even at very low loudness levels. The 'A' weighting is the most commonly used frequency weighting for occupational and environmental noise assessments. However, for environmental noise assessments, adjustments for the character of the sound will often be required.

#### AMBIENT NOISE

The ambient noise level at a particular location is the overall environmental noise level caused by all noise sources in the area, both near and far, including all forms of traffic, industry, lawnmowers, wind in foliage, insects, animals, etc. Usually assessed as an energy average over a set time period 'T' ( $L_{Aeq}$ ,T).

#### AUDIBLE

Audible refers to a sound that can be heard. There are a range of audibility grades, varying from "barely audible", "just audible" to "clearly audible" and "prominent".

#### **BACKGROUND NOISE LEVEL**

Total silence does not exist in the natural or built-environments, only varying degrees of noise. The Background Noise Level is the minimum repeatable level of noise measured in the absence of the noise under investigation and any other short-term noises such as those caused by all forms of traffic, industry, lawnmowers, wind in foliage, insects, animals, etc.. It is quantified by the noise level that is exceeded for 90 % of the measurement period 'T' (L<sub>A90</sub>, T). Background Noise Levels are often determined for the day, evening and night time periods where relevant. This is done by statistically analysing the range of time period (typically 15 minute) measurements over multiple days (often 7 days). For a 15 minute measurement period the Background Noise Level is set at the quietest level that occurs at 1.5 minutes.

#### **'C' FREQUENCY WEIGHTING**

The 'C' frequency weighting approximates the 100 phon equal loudness contour. The human ear frequency response is more linear at high sound levels and the 100 phon equal loudness contour attempts to represent this at various frequencies at sound levels of approximately 100 dB.

#### DECIBEL

The decibel (dB) is a logarithmic scale that allows a wide range of values to be compressed into a more comprehensible range, typically 0 dB to 120 dB. The decibel is ten times the logarithm of the ratio of any two quantities that relate to the flow of energy (i.e. power). When used in acoustics it is the ratio of square of the sound pressure level to a reference sound pressure level, the ratio of the sound power level to a reference sound power level, or the ratio of the sound intensity level to a reference sound intensity level. See also Sound Pressure Level and Sound Power Level. Noise levels in decibels cannot be added arithmetically since they are logarithmic numbers. If one machine is generating a noise level of 50 dB, and another similar machine is placed beside it, the level will increase to 53 dB (from  $10 \log_{10} (10^{(50/10)} + 10^{(50/10)})$ ) and not 100 dB. In theory, ten similar machines placed side by side will increase the sound level by 10 dB, and one hundred machines increase the sound level by 20 dB. The human ear has a vast sound-sensitivity range of over a thousand billion to one so the logarithmic decibel scale is useful for acoustical assessments.

#### dBA – See 'A' frequency weighting

#### dBC – See 'C' frequency weighting

#### EQUIVALENT CONTINUOUS SOUND LEVEL, LAeq

Many sounds, such as road traffic noise or construction noise, vary repeatedly in level over a period of time. More sophisticated sound level meters have an integrating/averaging electronic device inbuilt, which will display the energy time-average (equivalent continuous sound level -  $L_{Aeq}$ ) of the 'A' frequency weighted sound pressure level. Because the decibel scale is a logarithmic ratio, the higher noise levels have far more sound energy, and therefore the LAeq level tends to indicate an average which is strongly influenced by short term, high level noise events. Many studies show that human reaction to level-varying sounds tends to relate closer to the  $L_{Aeq}$  noise level than any other descriptor.

#### **'F'(FAST) TIME WEIGHTING**

Sound level meter design-goal time constant which is 0.125 seconds.

#### FLETCHER-MUNSON EQUAL LOUDNESS CONTOUR CURVES

The Fletcher–Munson curves are one of many sets of equal loudness contours for the human ear, determined experimentally by Harvey Fletcher and Wilden A. Munson, and reported in a 1933 paper entitled "Loudness, its definition, measurement and calculation" in the Journal of the Acoustic Society of America.

#### FREE FIELD

In acoustics a free field is a measurement area not subject to significant reflection of acoustical energy. A free field measurement is typically not closer than 3.5 metres to any large flat object (other than the ground) such as a fence or wall or inside an anechoic chamber.

#### FREQUENCY

The number of oscillations or cycles of a wave motion per unit time, the SI unit is the hertz (Hz). 1 Hz is equivalent to one cycle per second. 1000 Hz is 1 kHz.

#### **IMPACT ISOLATION CLASS (IIC)**

The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) has specified that the IIC of a floor/ceiling system shall be determined by operating an ISO 140 Standard Tapping Machine on the floor and measuring the noise generated in the room below. The IIC is a number found by fitting a reference curve to the measured octave band levels and then deducting the sound pressure level at 500 Hz from 110 decibels. Thus the higher the IIC, the better the impact sound isolation. Not commonly used in Australia.

#### **'I' (IMPULSE) TIME WEIGHTING**

Sound level meter time constant now not in general use. The 'I' (impulse) time weighting is not suitable for rating impulsive sounds with respect to their loudness. It is also not suitable for assessing the risk of hearing impairment or for determining the 'impulsiveness' of a sound.

#### IMPACT SOUND INSULATION (LnT,w)

Australian Standard AS ISO 717.2 – 2004 has specified that the Impact Sound Insulation of a floor/ceiling system be quantified by operating an ISO 140 Standard Tapping Machine on the floor and measuring the noise generated in the room below. The Weighted Standardised Impact Sound Pressure Level ( $L_{nT,w}$ ) is the sound pressure level at 500 Hz for a reference curve fitted to the measured 1/3 octave band levels. Thus the lower  $L_{nT,w}$  the better the impact sound insulation.

#### **IMPULSE NOISE**

An impulse noise is typified by a sudden rise time and a rapid sound decay, such as a hammer blow, rifle shot or balloon burst.

#### LOUDNESS

The volume to which a sound is audible to a listener is a subjective term referred to as loudness. Humans generally perceive an approximate doubling of loudness when the sound level increases by about 10 dB and an approximate halving of loudness when the sound level decreases by about 10 dB.

#### MAXIMUM NOISE LEVEL, LAFmax

The root-mean-square (rms) maximum sound pressure level measured with sound level meter using the 'A' frequency weighting and the 'F' (Fast) time weighting. Often used for noise assessments other than aircraft.

#### MAXIMUM NOISE LEVEL, LASmax

The root-mean-square (rms) maximum sound pressure level measured with sound level meter using the 'A' frequency weighting and the 'S' (Slow) time weighting. Often used for aircraft noise assessments.

#### NOISE RATING NUMBERS

A set of empirically developed equal loudness curves has been adopted as Australian Standard AS1469-1983. These curves allow the loudness of a noise to be described with a single NR number. The Noise Rating number is that curve which touches the highest level on the measured spectrum of the subject noise. For broadband noise such as fans and engines, the NR number often equals the 'A' frequency weighted dB level minus five.

#### NOISE

Noise is unwanted, harmful or inharmonious (discordant) sound. Sound is wave motion within matter, be it gaseous, liquid or solid. Noise usually includes vibration as well as sound.

#### NOISE REDUCTION COEFFICIENT – See: "Sound Absorption Coefficient"

#### **OFFENSIVE NOISE**

Reference: Dictionary of the NSW Protection of the Environment Operations Act (1997). "Offensive Noise means noise:

(a) that, by reason of its level, nature, character or quality, or the time at which it is made, or any other circumstances:

(i) is harmful to (or likely to be harmful to) a person who is outside the premise from which it is emitted, or

(ii) interferes unreasonably with (or is likely to interfere unreasonably with) the comfort or repose of a person who is outside the premises from which it is emitted, or

(b) that is of a level, nature, character or quality prescribed by the regulations or that is made at a time, or in other circumstances prescribed by the regulations."

#### **PINK NOISE**

Pink noise is a broadband noise with an equal amount of energy in each octave or third octave band width. Because of this, Pink Noise has more energy at the lower frequencies than White Noise and is used widely for Sound Transmission Loss testing.

#### **REVERBERATION TIME, T60**

The time in seconds, after a sound signal has ceased, for the sound level inside a room to decay by 60 dB. The first 5 dB decay is often ignored, because of fluctuations that occur while reverberant sound conditions are being established in the room. The decay time for the next 30 dB is measured and the result doubled to determine the  $T_{60}$ . The Early Decay Time (EDT) is the slope of the decay curve in the first 10 dB normalised to 60 dB.

#### SOUND ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$

Sound is absorbed in porous materials by the viscous conversion of sound energy to a small amount of heat energy as the sound waves pass through it. Sound is similarly absorbed by the flexural bending of internally damped panels. The fraction of incident energy that is absorbed is termed the Sound Absorption Coefficient,  $\alpha$ . An absorption coefficient of 0.9 indicates that 90 % of the incident sound energy is absorbed. The average  $\alpha$  from 250 to 2 kHz is termed the Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC).
## **'S' (SLOW) TIME WEIGHTING**

Sound level meter design-goal time constant which is 1 second.

# SOUND ATTENUATION

A reduction of sound due to distance, enclosure or some other devise. If an enclosure is placed around a machine, or an attenuator (muffler or silencer) is fitted to a duct, the noise emission is reduced or attenuated. An enclosure that attenuates the noise level by 20 dB reduces the sound energy by one hundred times.

# SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL (LAE)

Integration (summation) rather than an average of the sound energy over a set time period. Use to assess single noise events such as truck or train pass by or aircraft flyovers. The sound exposure level is related to the energy average ( $L_{Aeq}$ , T) by the formula  $L_{Aeq}$ , T =  $L_{AE}$  – 10 log<sub>10</sub> T. The abbreviation (SEL) is sometimes inconsistently used in place of the symbol ( $L_{AE}$ ).

# SOUND PRESSURE

The rms sound pressure measured in pascals (Pa). A pascal is a unit equivalent to a newton per square metre  $(N/m^2)$ .

# SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL, Lp

The level of sound measured on a sound level meter and expressed in decibels (dB). Where  $L_P = 10 \log_{10} (Pa/Po)^2 dB$  (or 20 log10 (Pa/ Po) dB) where Pa is the rms sound pressure in Pascal and Po is a reference sound pressure conventionally chosen is 20 µPa (20 x 10<sup>-6</sup> Pa) for airborne sound.  $L_P$  varies with distance from a noise source.

## SOUND POWER

The rms sound power measured in watts (W). The watt is a unit defined as one joule per second. A measures the rate of energy flow, conversion or transfer.

## SOUND POWER LEVEL, LW

The sound power level of a noise source is the inherent noise of the device. Therefore sound power level does not vary with distance from the noise source or with a different acoustic environment. Lw = Lp + 10  $\log_{10}$  'a' dB, re: 1pW, (10<sup>-12</sup> watts) where 'a' is the measurement noise-emission area (m<sup>2</sup>) in a free field.

# SOUND TRANSMISSION CLASS (STC)

An internationally standardised method of rating the sound transmission loss of partition walls to indicate the sound reduction from one side of a partition to the other in the frequency range of 125 Hz to 4000 kHz. (Refer: Australian Standard AS 1276 – 1979). Now not in general use in Australia see: weighted sound reduction index.

### SOUND TRANSMISSION LOSS

The amount in decibels by which a random sound is reduced as it passes through a sound barrier. A method for the measurement of airborne Sound Transmission Loss of a building partition is given in Australian Standard AS 1191 - 2002.

# STATISTICAL NOISE LEVELS, Ln.

Noise which varies in level over a specific period of time 'T' (standard measurement times are 15 minute periods) may be quantified in terms of various statistical descriptors for example:-

- The noise level, in decibels, exceeded for 1% of the measurement time period, when 'A' frequency weighted and 'F' time weighted is reference to as L<sub>AF1</sub>, T. This may be used for describing short-term noise levels such as could cause sleep arousal during the night.
- The noise level, in decibels, exceeded for 10% of the measurement time period, when 'A' frequency weighted and 'F' time weighted is reference to as L<sub>AF10</sub>, T. In most countries the LAF10, T is measured over periods of 15 minutes, and is used to describe the average maximum noise level.
- The noise level, in decibels, exceeded for 90% of the measurement time period, when 'A' frequency weighted and 'F' time weighted is reference to as L<sub>AF90</sub>, T. In most countries the LAF90, T is measured over periods of 15 minutes, and is used to describe the average minimum or background noise level.

# **STEADY NOISE**

Noise, which varies in level by 6 dB or less, over the period of interest with the time-weighting set to "Fast", is considered to be "steady". (Refer AS 1055.1 1997).

## WEIGHTED SOUND REDUCTION INDEX, Rw

This is a single number rating of the airborne sound insulation of a wall, partition or ceiling. The sound reduction is normally measured over a frequency range of 100 Hz to 3.150 kHz and averaged in accordance with ISO standard weighting curves (Refer AS/NZS 1276.1:1999). Internal partition wall Rw + C ratings are frequency weighted to simulate insulation from human voice noise. The R<sub>w</sub> + C is similar in value to the STC rating value. External walls, doors and windows may be R<sub>w</sub> + C<sub>tr</sub> rated to simulate insulation from road traffic noise. The spectrum adaptation term Ctr adjustment factor takes account of low frequency noise. The weighted sound reduction index is normally similar or slightly lower number than the STC rating value.

## WHITE NOISE

White noise is broadband random noise whose spectral density is constant across its entire frequency range. The sound power is the same for equal bandwidths from low to high frequencies. Because the higher frequency octave bands cover a wider spectrum, white noise has more energy at the higher frequencies and sounds like a hiss.

# **'Z' FREQUENCY WEIGHTING**

The 'Z' (Zero) frequency weighting is 0 dB within the nominal 1/3 octave band frequency range centred on 10 Hz to 20 kHz. This is within the tolerance limits given in AS IEC 61672.1–2004: 'Electroacoustics - Sound level meters – Specifications'.

Attachment 2: Calibration Certificates

	W & W & W & W & W	
	0 0	A S
2	CERTIFICATE OF	S.S.
	CALIBRATION	E
	CERTIFICATE NO: SI M29941	REP
d Enn	FOURMENT TESTED: Sound & Vibration Analyser	6SA
45	Manufacturer: Svantek	RA
	Type No: Svan-957 Serial No: 15336	RI
	Mic. Type: 7052E Serial No: 47869	
	Pre-Amp. Type: SV12L Serial No: 18743	
	Filter Type: 1/3 Octave Test No: FILT 6546	N N
L.	Owner Bonbow Environmental	
	25-27 Sherwood Street	S /
	Northmead, NSW 2152	
	Teste JEC 61672 2:2012	
	Performed: IEC 1260:1995. & AS/NZS 4476:1997	RA
	Comments: All Test passed for Class 1. (See overleaf for details)	R
	CONDITIONS OF TEST:	
	Ambient Pressure 1006 hPa±1 hPa Date of Receipt : 02/07/2021	
	Relative Humidity 37 % ±5% Date of Issue : 05/07/2021	A R
L.		
12	Acu-Vib Test Procedure: AVP10 (SLM) & AVP06 (Filters)	SI
	CHECKED BY: AUTHORISED SIGNATURE:	
	Hein Soe	SA
	Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Calibration Results of the tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to SI units	
The second	through reference equipment that has been calibrated by the Australian National Measurement Institute or other NATA accredited laboratories demonstrating traceability.	E
	This report applies only to the item identified in the report and may not be reproduced in part.	
	The uncertainties quoted are calculated in accordance with the methods of the ISO Guide to the Uncertainty of Measurement and quoted at a coverage factor of 2 with a confidence interval of approximately 95%.	LAL
	NATA A VILVEL AND IN	
	Acu-VID Electronics	
	CALIBRATIONS SALES RENTALS REPAIRS	
27	ACCREDITATION Accredited Lab No. 9262 Head Office & Calibration Laboratory	
	Acoustic and Vibration Unit 14, 22 Hudson Ave. Castle Hill NSW 2154 Measurements (02) 9680 8133	5
	WWYLOUT VULLOUTIOU	
C Stor	Page 1 of 2 Calibration Certificate AVCERT10.8 Rev.2.0 14/04/2021	5
AL S		
Mining		and a state of the



**CERTIFICATE NO: C35924** 

EQUIPMENT TESTED: Sound Level Calibrator Manufacturer: Rion Type No: NC-73 Serial No: 10186522 **Owner: Benbow Environmental** 25-27 Sherwood Street Northmead, NSW 2152 Tests Performed: Measured Output Pressure level, Frequency & Distortion Comments: See Details overleaf. All Test Passed. Pre-Adj Output: Frequency THD&N Parameter Adj Y/N (dB re 20 µPa) (Hz) (%) Level: 93.9 94.02 dB Y 989.59 Hz 0.79 % Uncertainty ±0.11 dB ±0.05% ±0.20 % Uncertainty (at 95% c.l.) k=2 **CONDITION OF TEST: Ambient Pressure** 999 hPa ±1 hPa Date of Receipt : 26/04/2023 Temperature 23 °C ±1° C Date of Calibration : 01/05/2023 **Relative Humidity** 52 % ±5% Date of Issue : 01/05/2023 Acu-Vib Test AVP02 (Calibrators) Test Method: AS IEC 60942 - 2017 **Procedure:** AUTHORISED CHECKED BY: < SIGNATURE: Hein Soe Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Calibration Results of the tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to SI units through reference equipment that has been calibrated by the Australian National Measurement Institute or other NATA accredited laboratories demonstrating traceability. This report applies only to the item identified in the report and may not be reproduced in part. The uncertainties quoted are calculated in accordance with the methods of the ISO Guide to the Uncertainty of Measurement and quoted at a coverage factor of 2 with a confidence interval of approximately 95% Acu-Vib Electronics CALIBRATIONS SALES RENTALS REPAIRS ACCREDITATION Accredited Lab No. 9262 Acoustic and Vibration Head Office & Calibration Laboratory Unit 14, 22 Hudson Ave. Castle Hill NSW 215 (02) 9680 8133 www.acu-vib.com.au Measurements Page 1 of 2 **Calibration Certificate** AVCERT02.1 Rev 2.0 14 04 202



Acoustic Unit 36/14 Loyalty Rd Research Labs Pty Ltd Unit 36/14 Loyalty Rd North Rocks NSW AUSTRALIA 2151 Ph: +61 2 9484 0800 A.B.N. 65 160 399 119 www.acousticresearch.com.au

## **Sound Level Meter** IEC 61672-3:2013

# **Calibration Certificate**

Calibration Number C22501

Client Deta	ils Ben	bow Environmental Pty Ltd	
	25-2	27 Sherwood Street	
	Nor	thmead NSW 2152	
Equipment Tested/ Model Number	r: AR	L Ngara	
Instrument Serial Number	r: 878	23C	
Microphone Serial Number	r: 217	53	
Pre-amplifier Serial Number	r: 286	63	
Firmware Version	126		
Thinware version	1. 12.0		
Pre-Test Atmospheric Conditions		Post-Test Atmospheric Condit	ions
Ambient Temperature : 23.9°C		<b>Ambient Temperature :</b>	24°C
<b>Relative Humidity :</b> 46.2%		<b>Relative Humidity :</b>	46.2%
Barometric Pressure : 100.56kPa		<b>Barometric Pressure :</b>	100.5kPa
Calibration Technician : Lucky Jaiswal		Secondary Check: Dhanush Bor	nu
Calibration Date: 27 Jul 2022		Report Issue Date : 28 Jul 2022	
Approved Signator	y: <i>18</i>	Cams	Ken Williams
Clause and Characteristic Tested	Result	<b>Clause and Characteristic Tested</b>	Result
12: Acoustical Sig. tests of a frequency weighting	Pass	17: Level linearity incl. the level range co	ntrol N/A
13: Electrical Sig. tests of frequency weightings	Pass	18: Toneburst response	Pass
14: Frequency and time weightings at 1 kHz Pa		19: C Weighted Peak Sound Level	N/A
15: Long Term Stability	Pass	20: Overload Indication	Pass
16: Level linearity on the reference level range	Pass	21: High Level Stability	Pass

The sound level meter submitted for testing has successfully completed the class 1 periodic tests of IEC 61672-3:2013, for the environmental conditions under which the tests were performed.

However, no general statement or conclusion can be made about conformance of the sound level meter to the full requirements of IEC 61672-1:2013 because evidence was not publicly available, from an independent testing organisation responsible for pattern approvals, to demonstrate that the model of sound level meter fully conformed to the requirements in IEC 61672-1:2013 and because the periodic tests of IEC 61672-3:2013 cover only a limited subset of the specifications in IEC 61672-1:2013.

		Uncertainties of Measurement -		
Acoustic Tests		Environmental Conditions		
125Hz	$\pm 0.13 dB$	Temperature	$\pm 0.1^{\circ}C$	
1kHz	$\pm 0.13 dB$	Relative Humidity	±1.9%	
8kHz	$\pm 0.14 dB$	Barometric Pressure	$\pm 0.014 kPa$	
Electrical Tests	$\pm 0.13 dB$			

All uncertainties are derived at the 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of 2.

This calibration certificate is to be read in conjunction with the calibration test report.

Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd is NATA Accredited Laboratory Number 14172. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Calibration.



The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to SI units.

NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, medical testing, calibration and inspection reports.

PAGE 1 OF 1



ACOUSTIC Unit 36/14 Loyalty Rd Research Ph: +61 2 9484 0800 A.B.N. 65 160 399 119 Www.acousticresearch.com.au

# **Sound Level Meter** AS 1259.1:1990 - AS 1259.2:1990

**Calibration Certificate** 

Calibration Number C21453

	Client Dr	tails Dan	how Environmental		
	Chefft De	tans Den	100W Environmental		
		25-2	27 Sherwood Street		
		Nor	thmead NSW 2152		
Equipme	ent Tested/ Model Num	ber: AR	L EL-215		
1	Instrument Serial Num	ber: 194	552		
M	licrophone Serial Num	ber: N/A			
Pre	e-amplifier Serial Num	her: N/A			
			•		
	Ati	nospheric	Conditions		
	Ambient Temperat	ure: 23.6	5°C		
	Relative Humic	lity: 39.9	9%		
	<b>Barometric Press</b>	ure: 100	.9kPa		
Calibration Technic	ion I uaky Inigural		Secondam: Cheel	Dhua Casualla	
Calibration Technic	Tall : Lucky Jaiswal		Secondary Check	k: Knys Gravene	
Calibration D	ate: 8 Jul 2021		Report Issue Date	e: 8 Jul 2021	
			1.		
	Approved Signat	ory:	Rams	Ker	n Williams
Clause and Character	Approved Signat	ory : A	Clause and Charac	Ker	n Williams <b>Result</b>
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi	Approved Signat	ory : A	Clause and Charace	Ker teristic Tested	n Williams Result Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight	Approved Signate	ory : Result Pass Pass	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting	Ker steristic Tested 1 noise level 2 characteristic F and S	n Williams Result Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight 10.3.2: Overload indication	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons	ory : A Result Pass Pass Pass	Clause and Charac 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting	Ker steristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I	n Williams Result Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight 10.3.2: Overload indication 10.3.3: Accuracy of level	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control	ory : Result Pass Pass Pass Pass	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform	Ker teristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance	n Williams Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lir	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control tearity	ory : Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging	Ker teristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance	n Williams Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lir 8.10: Differential level lir	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control nearity nearity	ory : Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging 9.3.5: Overload indicat	Ker teristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance ion	n Williams Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.3.2: Overload indicatio 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lin 8.10: Differential level lin	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control nearity nearity	Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging 9.3.5: Overload indicat	Ker teristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance ion	n Williams <b>Result</b> Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight 10.3.2: Overload indicatio 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lin 8.10: Differential level lin	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control nearity nearity Least 1	Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pas	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging 9.3.5: Overload indicat	Ker teristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance ion	n Williams <b>Result</b> Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight 10.3.2: Overload indicatio 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lir 8.10: Differential level lir Acoustic Tests	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control nearity nearity Least t	Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pas	Clause and Charac 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging 9.3.5: Overload indicat	Ker steristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance ion	n Williams <b>Result</b> Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Charactee 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.2.3: Frequency weight 10.3.2: Overload indicati 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lir 8.10: Differential level lir Acoustic Tests 31.5 Hz to 8kHz	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control nearity hearity ±0.13dB ±0.13dB	Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pas	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging 9.3.5: Overload indicat	Ker teristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance ion ±0.2°C	n Williams <b>Result</b> Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.3.2: Overload indicatio 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lin 8.10: Differential level lin Acoustic Tests 31.5 Hz to 8kHz 12.5kHz 16.5kHz	Approved Signat ristic Tested ty ing ons range control nearity nearity Least I ±0.13dB ±0.19dB ±0.19dB	Ory : Pass	Clause and Charace 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging 9.3.5: Overload indicat	Ker teristic Tested noise level g characteristic F and S g characteristic I ance ion <u>+0.2°C</u> <u>+2.4%</u>	n Williams Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
Clause and Character 10.2.2: Absolute sensitivi 10.3.3: Frequency weight 10.3.3: Accuracy of level 8.9: Detector-indicator lir 8.10: Differential level lir Acoustic Tests 31.5 Hz to 8kHz 12.5kHz 10kHz Electrical Tests	Approved Signati ristic Tested Ity ing ons range control hearity Least I ±0.13dB ±0.19dB ±0.31dB	ory : Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	Clause and Charac 10.3.4: Inherent system 10.4.2: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.3: Time weighting 10.4.5: R.M.S perform 9.3.2: Time averaging 9.3.5: Overload indicat of Measurement - ironmental Conditions Temperature Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ker}\\ \hline \text{cteristic Tested}\\ \text{a noise level}\\ \text{g characteristic F and S}\\ \text{g characteristic I}\\ \text{ance}\\ \hline \text{ion}\\ \hline \pm 0.2^{\circ}C\\ \pm 2.4\%\\ \pm 0.015 kPa \end{array}$	n Williams Result Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass

All uncertainties are derived at the 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of 2.

The sound level meter under test has been shown to conform to the type 2 requirements for periodic testing as described in AS 1259.1:1990 and AS 1259.2:1990 for the tests stated above.

This calibration certificate is to be read in conjunction with the calibration test report.



Acoustic Research Labs Pty Ltd is NATA Accredited Laboratory Number 14172. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - calibration.

The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to SI units

NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, medical testing, calibration and inspection reports.

PAGE 1 OF 1

Attachment 3: QA/QC Procedures

# **Calibration of Sound Level Meters**

A sound level meter requires regular calibration to ensure its measurement performance remains within specification. Benbow Environmental sound level meters are calibrated by a National Association of Testing Authority (NATA) registered laboratory or a laboratory approved by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) every two years and after each major repair, in accordance with AS 1259–1990.

The calibration of the sound level meter was checked immediately before and after each series of measurements using an acoustic calibrator. The acoustic calibrator provides a known sound pressure level, which the meter indicates when the calibrator is activated while positioned on the meter microphone.

The sound level meters also incorporate an internal calibrator for use in setting up. This provides a check of the electrical calibration of the meter, but does not check the performance of the microphone. Acoustical calibration checks the entire instrument including the microphone. Calibration certificates for the instrument sets used have been included as Attachment 1.

# Care and Maintenance of Sound Level Meters

Noise measuring equipment contains delicate components and therefore must be handled accordingly. The equipment is manufactured to comply with international and national standards and is checked periodically for compliance. The technical specifications for sound level meters used in Australia are defined in Australian Standard AS 1259–1990 *"Sound Level Meters"*.

The sound level meters and associated accessories are protected during storage, measurement and transportation against dirt, corrosion, rapid changes of temperature, humidity, rain, wind, vibration, electric and magnetic fields. Microphone cables and adaptors are always connected and disconnected with the power turned off. Batteries are removed (with the instrument turned off) if the instrument is not to be used for some time.

## **Investigation Procedures**

All investigative procedures were conducted in accordance with AS 1055.1–1997 Acoustics – Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise Part 1: General Procedures.

The following information was recorded and kept for reference purposes:

- type of instrumentation used and measurement procedure conducted;
- description of the time aspect of the measurements, ie. measurement time intervals; and
- positions of measurements and the time and date were noted.

As per AS 1055.1–1997, all measurements were carried out at least 3.5 m from any reflecting structure other than the ground. The preferred measurement height of 1.2 m above the ground was utilised. A sketch of the area was made identifying positions of measurement and the approximate location of the noise source and distances in meters (approx.).

# **Unattended Noise Monitoring**

## NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT

ARL noise loggers type Ngara and EL-215 were used to conduct the long-term unattended noise monitoring. This equipment complies with Australian Standard 1259.2–1990 *Acoustics – Sound Level Meters* and is designated as a Type 1 and Type 2 instrument suitable for field use.

The measured data is processed statistically and stored in memory every 15 minutes. The equipment was calibrated prior and subsequent to the measurement period using a Rion NC-73 sound level calibrator. There were no significant variances observed in the reference signal between the pre-measurement and post-measurement calibrations. Instrument calibration certificates have also been included in Attachment 1.

# METEOROLOGICAL CONSIDERATION DURING MONITORING

For the long-term attended monitoring, meteorological data for the relevant period were provided by the Bureau of Meteorology, which was considered representative of the site for throughout the monitoring period.

# DESCRIPTORS & FILTERS USED FOR MONITORING

Noise levels are commonly measured using A-weighted filters and are usually described as dB(A). The "A-weighting" refers to standardised amplitude versus frequency curve used to "weight" sound measurements to represent the response of the human ear. The human ear is less sensitive to low frequency sound than it is to high frequency sound. Overall A-weighted measurements quantify sound with a single number to represent how people subjectively hear different frequencies at different levels.

Noise environments can be described using various descriptors depending on characteristics of noise or purpose of assessments. For this survey the  $L_{A90}$  was used to analyse the monitoring results. The statistical descriptors  $L_{A90}$  measures the noise level exceeded for 90% of the sample measurement time, and is used to describe the "Background noise". Background noise is the underlying level of noise present in the ambient noise, excluding extraneous noise or the noise source under investigation.

Measurement sample periods were fifteen minutes. The Noise -vs- Time graphs representing measured noise levels at the noise monitoring location are presented in Attachment 4.

## **ATTENDED NOISE MONITORING**

## NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT

The attended short-term noise monitoring was carried out using a SVANTEK SVAN957 Class 1 Precision Sound Level Meter. The instrument was calibrated by a NATA accredited laboratory within two years of the measurement period. The instrument sets comply with AS 1259 and was set on A-weighted, fast response.

The microphone was positioned at 1.5 metres above ground level and was fitted with a windsock. The instrument was calibrated using a Rion NC-73 sound level calibrator prior and subsequent to the measurement period to ensure the reliability and accuracy of the instrument sets. There were no significant variances observed in the reference signal between the pre-measurement and post-measurement calibrations. Instrument calibration certificates have also been included in Attachment 1.

## WEATHER CONDITIONS

It was partially cloudy, fine without significant breeze.

## METHODOLOGY

The attended noise measurements were carried out generally in accordance with Australian Standard AS 1055-1997 "Acoustics – Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise".

Attachment 4: Daily Noise Logger Charts

























**Measured Noise Levels** Stateline Asphalt - Location B - Monday 05/12/2022 8 90 80 7 70 6 Noise Level dB(A)/ Wind Speed km/hr 90 30 30 5 Lmax L1 Rain (mm) L10 L90 3 -D-Leq -**x**—Wind speed (km/Hr) 2 - Rain 20 1 10 0 mm ĸĸĸĸĸĸĸ 0 -1 00:00 00:00 03:00 06:00 09:00 12:00 15:00 18:00 21:00 Time



**Measured Noise Levels** Stateline Asphalt - Location B - Wednesday 07/12/2022 8 90 80 7 70 6 Noise Level dB(A)/ Wind Speed km/hr 90 30 30 -Lmax L1 E L10 Rain L90 3 -----Leq -**x**—Wind speed (km/Hr) 2 - Rain 20 1 10 6 0 -1 жжж 00:00 03:00 06:00 09:00 12:00 15:00 18:00 21:00 00:00 Time





Attachment 5: Site Plans